NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | March 16, 1942 | Subscription Three Dollars Per Year

10c

WHO STARTED 'SACRED' WAR?

Mr. Samuel Untermeyer, in Speech Delivered August 6, 1933, Tells Who, When and How

(See Pages 3 and 4)

Father Coughlin, Un-Americanism, And War Makers

(Page 5)

Post-War Leaders Must Build a 'New America'

(Page 9)

COMMUNISM VS. DEMOCRACY ★ WHAT IS A 'COUGHLINITE'? ★ REVIEWING THE RED RECORD

Dinner Chat

Democratic Citizens Act 'Without Orders'

AMONG the interested citizens attending a recent Civilian Defense meeting was a woman of German descent who expressed herself as gravely disturbed over the efficiency lag in our local defense work.

"Why doesn't somebody *give orders?*" she asked repeatedly.

The woman related how, on a visit to Germany in 1933, she found air raid preparedness a well established routine. There were, she said, no confused discussions; the people did not worry about what they were to do because somebody in authority always gave orders.

Asked if she were a citizen, she stated proudly that she had been an American citizen for many years.

"What kind of country is this?" the class instructor then asked.

"A democracy," she promptly replied.

"Does that answer your first question?"

"Oh, I see it!" the lady exclaimed. "In a democracy the people *DO THEIR DUTY WITHOUT ORDERS!*"

* * *

Her hearers agreed that the democratic way of life could hardly have been better described.

The duty—and the great privilege—of citizens in a democratic Republic like the United States is to act without waiting for somebody's "orders."

Democracy presumes that each citizen will be so vitally interested in the welfare of his Government, and the nation of which he is a part, that he will inform himself of his duty at all times.

And there should be no question that he will *act* once he has informed himself as to his duty.

This readiness to act is the boasted *initiative* that once made America great.

But something has happened to the clearsighted vision of our duty and the prompt initiative to perform once we've seen it.

Someone remarked in the general discussion after a local air raid meeting, "How are you going to get initiative when any show of it is promptly slapped down by a bunch of political bureaucrats in Washington?"

There was general agreement but no little uneasiness at such overbold speaking out. Somebody remarked that it was "dangerous" talk in wartime.

* * *

So, here we are, a democracy at war — and it is an all-out war against the most efficient regimenters in the world—and democratic citizens not only fear to take the initiative to perform, but fear to discuss freely their duty.

Are we waiting, as do the Nazi subjects, for somebody to "give orders"? If so, do we not admit the need to be regimented? To wage efficient war do we need a totalitarian and authoritarian "Boss"?

If we've got to the point where we have to have that, what is America fighting for?

Six Years Ago In 'Social Justice'

WHEN we started this little department last week, on the occasion of SOCIAL JUSTICE'S anniversary, we were hardly prepared for the rich treasure store of memories, or the fund of information it would enable us to review.

Our second week, we remember, was marked by the destruction of the original little Shrine. For us here at Royal Oak, the morning of St. Patrick's day in 1936, was a "blitzkrieg" of fire.

The issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE which appeared on Friday, March 20th, arrested readers with a front page editorial captioned:

"WAR IS INEVITABLE, UNLESS —" the concluding paragraph of which read as follows:

"War is inevitable — a world war, if you please, a racial war, perchance; war which will result in the destruction of the last vestige of capitalism—unless the French, who insisted that the name of God should not be spoken at the Peace Conference of Versailles, will pause to reconsider the insanity which that decision implied."

Look upon the fate of France six short years after!

The full text of Father Coughlin's broadcast for March 18th included an indictment of Communism as timely for next Sunday afternoon as it was six years ago.

We quote:

"Communism is absolutely anti-Christian and atheistic.

"Communism holds that the State, and not the parents, have first and indisputable claim over all children.

"Communism is totally antagonistic to the doctrine of private ownership.

"Communism advances a purely materialistic concept of life.

"Communism advocates armed revolution against all non-Communistic governments.

"Communism professes a practical hatred towards all forms of political democracy, because it believes in the absolutism of dictatorship.

"Communism, like Mahomet of old, carries the gospel of Karl Marx in one hand and the bloody scimitar of death in the other, cutting down its political opponents as it does in Mexico today, and in Russia yesterday.

"And finally, Communism, while leveling all men down to the common denominator of economic mediocrity, has never once raised its voice in this country or elsewhere against the private owners of money who, through the control of credit, have brought about the identical evils against which Mr. Browder and his fellow Communists inveigh so vociferously."

An editorial on the National Union page, entitled *"A Challenge to Democracy,"* contained this paragraph:

"It is apparent that it is no longer practical to proceed as we have been doing. If democracy is to be sustained, *the people themselves must support it and protect it.*

"Otherwise, there is little solid hope for the establishment of social justice and the preservation of our political rights unless there is a more energetic attack . . . against the political and financial evils which enthrall them."

* * *

We cannot refrain from one more quotation from that six-year-old edition. It is from Father Coughlin's Weekly Letter to the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE and was entitled, *"What Is Important?"*

It concluded:

"I have been carried to a position where I am regarded as a sort of leader. I say this with no pride, because I know the fate which some day will await me.

"Perhaps, my enemies will encompass me.

"Perhaps, my friends will betray me.

"Perhaps, I shall be personally responsible, through some direct fault of my own, of killing the faith which you have placed in me.

"No one knows the future. But, no matter what happens to me, either from without or from within, rest assured that the principles which I have preached will always be honest principles.

"I am not important. You are not important. But unless social justice, whose bedrock is the brotherhood of man, will predominate in this world, I fear for the future!"

Five Years Ago

COMMUNISM, in those days as now, was the imminent peril against which SOCIAL JUSTICE counselled its readers to alert vigilance. A headline in the March 22, 1937, edition read:

"Red Plotters Push Drive in Coal Regions — Own Agitators Disclose How Leaders Are Carrying Out Orders From Moscow."

Other headlines, which suggest the stories under them and which invite a re-reading of the old files, were as follows:

"Veteran Communist Agitator Tells Story of Party in Canada—Soviet Trains Likely Young Red Recruits."

"The Spirit of America Is Asleep—Only Greater Zeal Can Beat Zeal of Reds."

"Congress in 'Sitdown,' Extends Reserve Act—U.S. to Pay Debt Toll to the Private Coiners for Two More Years."

"Consumer Groups Unite Buying Power: Form Co-ops in Effort to Beat 'Profits'."

"Charged for Use of Our Own Money."

"Armaments Race Is a Vicious Cycle — Plans Certain to Draw U.S. into Vortex."

"Money Lenders Exact Debt Toll Off People of the United States—Chance to Use *Constitution's* 'General Welfare' Clause."

Pete Is an Air Raid Warden!

HIYA, FOLKS:

I won't need much space this week.

Just enuff t' remind ya this is renool time. For th' konvenyunce uv menny subskrib'rs I've had th' printers put this kupon down below every week. Clip a muney ordur to ut 'n we'll keep th' paper comin.'

I thot shure we'd put sumthin' over on my Big Bruther, Pal, when Deadline Daddy 'n I were made air-rade warduns—but I find Pal is one, too!

Since that fire at th' printers we're not takin' eny more chances. If it's up t' us Grate Danes, ther' won't be eny Pearl Harbors out here in Royal Oak!

So, till nex' wk,

Yours on th' alert,

—PETE

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year Renewal ☐ New ☐

(Remit by Check or Money Order)

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1942 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the post office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 9 March 16, 1942 No. 11

Comment

Who Started The 'Sacred' War?—and When?
Mr. Untermeyer Answers the Question

SOON nine years will have elapsed since a worldwide "sacred war" was declared on Germany not by the United States, not by Great Britain, not by France, not by any nation; but by the race of Jews.

Startling as that statement is, it is substantiated by a public address made over Station WABC, outlet of the Columbia Broadcasting System, by Mr. Samuel Untermeyer, an American Jew.

Was Mr. Untermeyer speaking as an individual, as an American citizen, as a crackpot? Absolutely, no. He was speaking as a member of "our race."

He was speaking as the executive official of the World Jewish Economic Federation.

What was the occasion of his speech? Having returned from Amsterdam, Holland, where he presided over the International Jewish Boycott Conference—at which conference he was elected president of the World Jewish Economic Federation formed to combat the Hitlerite oppression of Jews—Mr. Samuel Untermeyer spoke most officially, most representatively, most authoritatively. In announcing the factuality of the "sacred war," he definitely referred to "race," not religion. He went so far as to say that those Jews who did not join with him were "traitors to their race."

Nine years ago, approximately, this high Jewish official—than whom there was no higher at the moment—did not say that we "will go" to war against Germany. He said that the "sacred war" against Germany was then under way—"actively embarked upon."

* * *

Thus, at Amsterdam, Holland, at the meeting above referred to at which Mr. Samuel Untermeyer was elected president of the World Jewish Economic Federation, a "sacred war" was declared against Germany to destroy Germany's very existence."

Jews all over the world were ordered not to buy from Germany, not to patronize German merchants, not to deal with firms which sold German goods, not to ship on German boats.

Mr. Untermeyer, speaking officially for all the Jews in the world on "what we are proposing and what we have already gone far toward doing," said that his organization would "undermine the Hitler regime and bring the German people to their senses by destroying their export trade on which their very exstence depends."

All this to rescue 600,000 Jews resident in the Third German Reich.

Thus, a "sacred war" of revenge was undertaken and was under way by August 6, 1933.

Did Mr. Samuel Untermeyer foresee that some Jewish leaders would not go along with him? He did not; for he said that all Jewish leaders of Jewish organizations would be compelled to go along with him, following a meeting to be held at Prague, Czechoslovakia that same year—1933.

O, shades of democracy!

Did Mr. Samuel Untermeyer absolve Jewish bankers as so many others are wont to do for having built up Adolf Hitler? He did not. Specifically, he indicted Jewish bankers for having played a great part in the creation of Hitlerism. Said he:

> Revolting as it is, it would be an interesting study in psychology to analyze the motives, other than fear and cowardice, *that have prompted Jewish bankers to lend money to Germany as they are now doing. It is in part their money that is being used by the Hitler regime* in its reckless, wicked campaign of propaganda to make the world anti-Semitic; with that money they have invaded Great Britain, the United States and other countries where they have established newspapers, subsidized agents and otherwise are spending untold millions in spreading their infamous creed.

* * *

But the Jewish conference to be held at Prague would take care of the Jewish bankers and others who didn't care to go along. They would be weeded out.

We repeat that for persecutions suffered by 600,000 Jews in Germany the world was catapulted into a "sacred war" of economic boycott. The object of the war was to destroy Germany's export trade upon which her "very existence" depended. Only an irrational man would deny that such an economic war would inevitably lead to a bloody, physical war.

And what about the persecution of the 600,000 Jews in Germany? It is true that Hitler placarded their shops; ostracized many Jews from professional ranks; instituted, in some instances, concentration camps for what Hitler thought to be the more dangerous Jews. But why did Hitler practice such extremities? All civilization knows that, following the first World War, wealthy Jews from America, Britain, France, Holland, Galicia and elsewhere moved into Germany with their valuable money and purchased property which was represented by worthless inflated German marks.

Indeed, the Jews became the aristocrats of Germany — financial aristocrats, if you please, having confiscated through their monetary manipulations a great share of the wealth that belonged not only to the 2-million members of the Nazi Party but to the 50-million Catholics, Protestants and other Gentiles who did not belong to the Nazi Party. And, as far back as 1933, a world war—a "sacred war"—was declared not only against Hitler and his 2-million Nazis but against the 50-million Catholics, Protestants and other Gentiles who were not Nazis.

Flauntingly before the American public —nearly 9 years ago—chief executive officer Samuel Untermeyer of the World Jewish Economic Federation has the effrontery to ask the Christians of the world to join with his nationals throughout the world to destroy not only Hitlerism and the 2-million Nazis but also the more than 40-million Christians in Germany whose very existence—according to Mr. Untermeyer —depends upon their economic activities with the outside world.

What price Jewry!

* * *

Apart from the illogicity of the Untermeyer proposal, the Christians throughout the world—not defending Hitlerism for a moment—suffer an intellectual insult by the invitation to join with the racial Jews against their own fellow Christians who were more sinned against than sinning.

Perhaps the Untermeyer speech throws some light upon the refusal of the Jews to accept Father Coughlin's invitation issued November 20, 1938, for the Jews to join with the Christians to battle against the commissars of the Soviet Union whose hands were reeking with the blood of millions of Christians—Christians not persecuted economically, but done to death most outrageously. And at the time Mr. Untermeyer was speaking—1933—not one Jew in Germany had suffered death at the hands of the Hitlerites although they had unjustly acquired German property with their foreign gold.

Unctuously Mr. Untermeyer advertised the purpose of his "sacred" Jewish worldwide war to wipe out bigotry, race hatred and fanaticism. Nothing could persuade that gentleman and the worldwide organization he represented to strike at Moscow or to spend a Confederate dollar in behalf of the Negroes, for example, who were the downtrodden in 1933 — 1933, the year made memorable for the beginning of the world war which was then "actively embarked upon."

* * *

Nearly nine years have elapsed since the beginning of this world war upon which we

(Continued on Page 4)

Index to Comment

Democratic Citizens Act 'Without Orders'; Six Years Ago in 'Social Justice'; Five Years Ago ..... Page 2

Who Started the 'Sacred War'—and When? Mr. Untermeyer Answers the Question ..... Page 3

Text of Mr. Untermeyer's 1933 Speech ..... Page 4

Father Coughlin Assailed in House as Un-American ..... Page 5

Editorials ..... Page 6

What Is a 'Coughlinite?' ..... Page 7

Post-War Leaders Must Build a 'New America'; Were We Right or Were We Wrong? ..... Page 9

Bridges Efficiency: $14 for a Sandwich; A New Note in Americanism; Apathy in California ..... Page 10

Reviewing the Red Record; Nazi Prisoners Work Farms ..... Page 11

Aid to Japan; Douglas Aircraft Company's Contract With Jap Firm ..... Page 13

Irish Resent 'Invasion' ..... Page 14

What About India?; Bombing of Paris a Boomerang ..... Page 15

Reproduction of Back Page of August 4, 1941, Issue of 'Social Justice' ..... Page 20

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

"actively embarked." Already millions of lives have been affected by it. Millions of homes have been reduced to rubble. Millions of children have been left fatherless. Millions of properties have been blasted out of existence. The clouds of worldwide destruction hover over us. The stench of the shambles fills our nostrils. Yet Mr. Untermeyer said that the "hell of war and the alleged Belgian atrocities will pale into insignificance as compared to this devilishly, deliberately, cold-bloodedly planned and already partially executed campaign for the extermination o a proud, gentle, loyal, law-abiding people"—600,000 German Jews whom he subsequently referred to as the aristocrats of the world."

Americans were under the impression that this was a war to save democracy; a war to guarantee the lastingness of the four liberties. Mr. Untermeyer has been truthful and told us the real objectives of the war.

The entire war was planned by 1933, according to Mr. Samuel Untermeyer—not planned with its litany of defeats for France, Great Britain and the United States. But planned, nevertheless, to rescue 600,000 racial Jews in Germany among whom there were many good Jews; planned to destroy German trade upon which Germans depend "for their very existence."

Mr. Untermeyer specifically said in the interview given to the reporters when he arrived in America from Amsterdam, that capitalism in America would be forcefully lined up, as it were, through means of "a peaceful revolution." Even before Mr. Roosevelt had an opportunity to discuss his plans for the reconstruction of America, Mr. Untermeyer disclosed to the world the nature of the plans.

And further, the "sacred war" would be implemented through the League of Nations, according to the high spokesman of Jewry. We now understand the reason for the rancor which existed in the hearts of Jewry when Father Coughlin and others opposed the entrance of the United States into the League of Nations.

In 1933 America was a country with its sleeves rolled up, its head held high, and its heart athrob determined to dig our way out of depression and scale the rugged heights of national prosperity. Then we were a united country. But diabolically the poison of disunion was dumped into our national veins through propaganda, press, radio, pulpit—and boycotts.

Those who prayed night and day for the energy and will to walk hand-in-hand with Mr. Roosevelt found it impossible to do so when they envisaged the blood bath which a handful of revengeful men was preparing for us—despite the fact that "revenge is Mine, saith the Lord." And these same men have the audacity this day to talk of disunity, of bigotry, of pro-Naziism, of fanaticism.

* * *

This most historic speech of Mr. Untermeyer renders puerile the insincere words of those who dare prate of disunity. Let them indict as pro-Nazis, if they will, those who are not fearful to tell the truth; for truth was always the characteristic of a real American.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is making no attempt to answer the question, "Who started the World War?"

SOCIAL JUSTICE leaves the answer to that question to Mr. Samuel Untermeyer, refusing to alter one word of his most historic speech—a speech which speaks for itself.

The Jews of America, through their various publications persistently have calumniated Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE both of whom were termed un-American.

Here, then, is our answer to them—in part. We will let the world know who is un-American and undemocratic. If pro-Americanism consists in boycotting a suffering 40-million German people upon whose neck there rested the yoke of the Nazi Party; if pro-Americanism consists in casting the entire civilized world into a seething cauldron of bloody war for the protection of 600,000 racialists or religionists—as you care to call them; if pro-Americanism is identified with secret eonomic conferences at Amsterdam and dictatorial decrees emanating from Prague which nullifies the peaceful progress of our country — then Americanism, under that interpretation, is not worth while fighting for.

If Americanism consists in propagandizing the minds of a people to engage in a war of revenge because a group of religionists resident in a foreign country is persecuted by a government that rose to power through the financial assistance of a group of Jewish bankers, in part, would it also be American if the 20-million Catholics, for example, resident in our country lied and plotted and planned, prior to 1933, to engage our country in war against Moscow?

If that is Americanism, let us have none of it.

Of course, Mr. Untermeyer does not refer to the Jews in Germany as religionists. To him they are racialists. But the propagandizing Jews in America eschew the word "racialist" and employ the word "religionist" not only to suit their convenience but to bandage the eyes of the blind "goyim" of America—the "goyim" who are *not* the "aristocrats" but are employed to fight a world war for the Jews, who *are* the "aristocrats."

Text of Untermeyer 'Sacred' War Speech

Following is the text of Samuel Untermeyer's address over Station WABC after his return from Europe. (From *New York Times,* Aug. 7, 1933. Italics in Address ours.)

My Friends:

What a joy and relief and sense of security to be once more on American soil! The nightmares of horrors through which I have passed in those two weeks in Europe, listening to the heartbreaking tales of refugee victims, beggar description.

I deeply appreciate your enthusiastic greeting on my arrival today, which I quite understand is addressed not to me personally but to the *holy war* in the cause of humanity *in which we are embarked,* Jews and non-Jews alike, for we are equally concerned that the work of centuries shall not be undone, and that civilization shall not be allowed to die.

It is a war that must be waged unremittingly until the black clouds of *bigotry, race hatred* and *fanaticism* that have descended upon what was once Germany, but is now medieval Hitlerland, have been dispersed. If we will but *enlist to a man* and persist in our purpose the bright sun of civilization will again shine upon Germany, and the world will be a safer place in which to dwell.

As our ship sailed up the bay today past our proud Statue of Liberty, I breathed a prayer of gratitude and thanksgiving that this fair land of freedom has escaped the curse that has descended upon benighted Germany, which has thereby been converted from a nation of culture into a veritable hell of cruel and savage beasts.

The World's Concern

We owe it not only to our persecuted brethren but to the entire world to now strike in self-defense a blow that will free humanity from a repetition of this incredible outrage. This time the Jews are the victims, next time it may be the Catholics or the Protestants. If we once admit, as is brazenly insisted by the German Government, that such fiendish persecution of the people of one race or creed is an internal domestic affair and not a world concern, how are we to know whose turn will be next?

Now or never must all the nations of the earth make common cause against the monstrous claim that the slaughter, starvation and annihilation, by a country that has reverted to barbarism, of its own innocent and defenseless citizens without rhyme, reason or excuse is an internal affair against which the rest of the world must stand idly by and not lift a hand in defense.

I have seen and talked with many of these terror-stricken refugees who have had the good fortune to escape over the border, though forced to leave their property behind them, and I want to say to you that nothing that has seeped through to you over the rigid censorship and lying propaganda that are at work to conceal and misrepresent the situation of the Jews in Germany begins to tell a fraction of the frightful story of fiendish torture, cruelty and persecution that are being inflicted day by day upon these men, women and children, or the terrors of worse than death in which they are living.

When the tale is told, as it will be some day if the impotent League of Nations ever sufficiently awakens from its Rip Van Winkle slumbers to the realization of its power and duty to prosecute an investigation into the facts, the world will confront a picture so fearful in its barbarous cruelty that the hell of war and the alleged Belgian atrocities will pale into insignificance as compared to this devilishly, deliberately, cold-bloodedly planned and already partially executed campaign for the extermination of a proud, gentle, loyal, law-abiding people—a people who love and have shed their blood for their Fatherland, and to whom Germany owes in large part its prosperity and its great scientists, educators, lawyers, physicians, poets, musicians, diplomats and philosophers, who are the backbone of its past cultural life.

Back to Dark Ages

But why dwell longer upon this revolting picture of the ravages wrought by these ingrates and beasts of prey, animated by the loathsome motives of race hatred, bigotry and envy. *For the Jews are the aristocrats of the world.* From time immemorial they have been persecuted and have seen their persecutors come and go. They

(Continued on Page 14)

Father Coughlin Assailed In House as Un-American

LAST week Father Coughlin—silent and silenced as he is—was attacked on the floor of the House of Representatives.

According to radio and newspaper reports Father Coughlin's activities were said to be un-American.

The occasion of this outburst on the part of a Congressman, who is unable to prove his statements, was identified with the request on the part of Representative Martin Dies to secure further appropriations to investigate un-Americanism.

"Why hasn't Father Coughlin been summoned before the Dies Committee and investigated?" That was shouted in true demagogic fashion at Mr. Dies.

The truth is that Father Coughlin has publicly offered to testify before the Dies Committee. Up to date his offer has not been accepted. Nevertheless, because, at the moment, it is popular to attack any person who has had the fortitude to denounce Communism, a Congressman struts his little piece upon the stage of time to join the pack-hounds as they bark and snarl at an individual who cannot fight back. He cannot defend himself, because those who control the destiny of our present affairs have made sure that no opportunity will be given him to defend himself.

* * *

If it is un-American to protest against causes which contributed to a world war; if it is un-American to expose the sale of munitions and technical instruments to enemies who were preparing to oppose us; if it is un-American to unveil the practices of Moscow-lovers and world revolutionists; if it is un-American to expose the left-wingers in Government; if it is un-American to inveigh against the international bankers and their exploitation of the masses; if it is un-American to preach America for Americans—likely Father Coughlin would plead guilty.

But that is another story which none but the recluse of Royal Oak can tell.

Since May, 1940, Father Coughlin has been silent. He has made no radio appearance. He has given out no public statement on public affairs. He has been confined to his own small parish and to his own beloved pulpit.

If his Moscow-minded enemies cared to investigate his alleged un-Americanism, they had plenty of opportunity to do so during the years of Father Coughlin's public career.

The entire nation would be glad if they would attempt to do it now—in a public hearing; and Father Coughlin is able, with his records, to take care of all his attackers.

As reluctant as we are to introduce this story with the above statement, it is only fair to add that Father Coughlin is branded as un-American particularly by the combined forces of Communists and Jews throughout America who are just getting around to the point of reading the handwriting on the wall.

The more they persecute the one-time radio opponent of Communism, the better for the cause he represents.

* * *

What will happen to those who have supported and still support the Communist element in America? Generally they are those who never attack the inefficiency and muddling in Washington, but concentrate their broadsides on persons and groups who demand Governmental efficiency. Generally, too, they are those who support the policy of scattering our armed forces throughout the world, while leaving America vulnerable to invasion.

What will happen to those Jews who not only support the Communists as of today but have been working to get the United States into war against Germany since 1933?

We repeat that certain Jews have been working to get the United States into a war against Germany since 1933.

Few Americans are conscious of this nine-year-old sinister plotting which has resulted in a world war.

Few Americans realize that not since 1933 has one gesture been made toward Germany to iron out any mutual differences existing between the two nations, but more than gestures of good will were made to the Soviet Union which boasted and planned a world revolution.

The above constitutes a most important statement and deserves to be documented by a most important letter. On August 11, 1933—9 years ago—Donald A. MacLean, M.A., S.T.L., Ph.D., professor of social and political ethics at the Catholic University of America, addressed an open letter to Mr. Samuel Untermeyer, the Executive Officer of the World Jewish Economic Federation. Here is the letter in full:

"On last Sunday, August 6th, through your broadcast over Station WABC, New York, and on the following day through the Press, we are informed that under your leadership a World Jewish Economic Federation was organized at Amsterdam, one of the main purposes of which is to engage in an economic boycott of Germany."

We pause to emphasize that on August 6, 1933, Samuel Untermeyer, the Executive Officer of the World Jewish Economic Federation, launched an economic boycott against Germany — a boycott which he called a Sacred War.

Dr. MacLean's letter continues:

"In your address you urge that I join your movement: 'Jew and Gentile alike, who has not already enlisted in this Sacred War, should do so now and here.'

"Before doing so I should like to assure myself that you are really leading the world in what is in fact and reality a SACRED WAR.

"Memories of the vast amount of false propaganda with which we were gorged in the name of justice and humanity, to make the world safe for democracy, in the name of a Sacred War, to terminate all war, memories of the massed anti-German propaganda, which even the authors now admit to have been created to further the holy (?) cause, still linger in the minds of some of us. Your own address, indeed, vividly recalls many similar-worded sweeping, tearful appeals of the world-war period and suggests the advisability of cautious investigation before hasty action, which your address counsels.

* * *

"As a Gentile, as a Christian and as a Catholic may I request of you, as one of the outstanding responsible organizers and executive officers of this World Jewish Economic Federation, information on the following issues:

"1. Can you guarantee that your Federation will not be used to promote policies and ends that are inimical to Christian civilization, or that will frustrate the extension of the social reign of Jesus Christ so ardently advocated by His Holiness Pope Pius XI?

"2. Will you, as leading member of the executive of this World Jewish Economic Federation, exert your full influence to have this economic boycott include not only Germany, but Mexico, Spain and the Russian Soviet Republics, in view of the brutal and outrageous persecution that Catholics and other Christians are experiencing in these countries?

"3. Can you secure from your international Jewish compatriot and fellow-member of the World Jewish Economic Federation, Mr. Litvinov, Foreign Minister of the Russian Confederated Soviet Republics, assurance from his Communistic Government of a guarantee of the right of religious liberty for Catholics and other Christian denominations, as well as restoration of the many thousands of Catholic churches, convents, colleges, schools and other institutions confiscated, besides compensation for the many hundreds of millions of church and other properties destroyed, as also adequate reparation for the lives of many thousands of bishops and priests and the million-odd laymen and women wantonly and brutally murdered because of their religion?

(Continued on Page 4)

Winchell's Naval Service

SNOWED under by an avalanche of public criticism, Walter Winchell finds his present status most uncomfortable. To be in the Navy and out of the Navy at one and the same time proves the versatility of the gentleman who so strongly favored having other men in the Navy or in the Army only.

Thus, Lieutenant Commander Walter Winchell, U.S.N.R. — and Broadway columnist at the same time—has petitioned Washington to assign him to active naval duty and thereby rescue him from public criticism.

According to a United Press dispatch (March 2): "Chairman Carl Vinson (Dem., Ga.), of the House Naval Affairs Committee said today he had advised the Navy Department either to call Walter Winchell, columnist and radio commentator, to active duty or drop him from the reserve list.

"Vinson made his statement to the House in replying to an inquiry by Rep. Clare Hoffman (Rep., Mich.), concerning Winchell's status.

"Hoffman said he had heard that Winchell received $5,000 for his weekly radio broadcasts and he understood, 'although I may be wrong,' that he delivers his broadcasts in uniform.'

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

"Up With Communism And Down With Democracy"

THE HOUSE Military Affairs Committee recently published a startling report which indicates, among other things, the attitude of the Communists and radicals towards America.

Although we are engaged in an all-out struggle to preserve our way of life, it appears that the red element in Washington is interested in taking advantage of the perilous times through which we are passing to communize the United States.

From the outset those patriotic persons who had an intimate knowledge of what is going on behind the scenes were aware of this. However, the vast majority of our fellow citizens—sincere and loyal—did not even suspect; could not even suspect that such malevolent saboteurs were operating in our midst.

When patriotic and loyal men are ill-informed or misinformed, it is possible for the devil to seize the scepter and wear the crown.

These odious conclusions have been pounded out on the anvil of attention by the constant hammer-beats of incidents similar to the one brought to light by the majority report of the House Military Affairs Committee which unveiled the sinister happenings associated with the W.P.B.—approved project for the construction of a co-operative power transmission line to a new Government aluminum plant at Lake Catherine, Arkansas. The official report categorically said that the new publicly-owned line was not needed to supply the power needs of the aluminum plant; that it duplicates existing facilities; that it would waste over three-quarter million pounds of copper and large quantities of other critical materials.

Finally, the official report recommended that the project be canceled and that the W.P.B. halt construction of about 969 miles of transmission lines.

The members of the Military Affairs Committee are neither defending nor opposing the privately-owned corporations whose present facilities are capable of supplying power to the new Government plant at Lake Catherine. The committee members are simply opposing sinful waste at a critical time.

Mr. Donald Nelson's name, of course, is directly and intimately associated with the W.P.B. over which he presides. In criticizing this gentleman, the Military Affairs Committee majority said that he had approved the Arkansas-Louisiana transmission line (the Government project) although adverse reports on it were given by the Arkansas Department of Public Utilities and by the joint Army-Navy Munitions Board. The committee softened their criticism when its majority report said that it was possible Mr. Nelson was either deceived or had signed the order as a routine matter without without having investigated the circumstances—an order presented to him by Mr. J. A. Krug, chief of Mr. Nelson's W.P.B.'s Power Division.

When the majority report of the Committee was presented to the House of Representatives by Congressman Winter of Kansas, this gentleman asserted that he found "an attitude and a philosophy toward the war by a shockingly widespread group of key power officials in the Federal Government which would indicate that Communist or planned State ideology is paramount in their minds to the necessity of winning the war."

Added he: "If I were to sum up in a few words the revealed attitude of this gang of fellow-travelers who are bent on prostituting to their ends America's idealism and patriotism to 'make America over' during her hour of travail, I would say this is their arrogant attitude:

"To hell with the taxpayers, and the public be damned.

"To hell with the farmers, and let the Army and Navy play second fiddle; we come first.

"Up with communism and down with democracy! And let the war go hang!

"We'll 'win the peace' if we lose the war."

At the Atlantic Conference; at the Christmastide meeting between Prime Minister Churchill and President Roosevelt at the White House, and in public speeches delivered by high officials the American people were led to believe that winning the war meant driving Hitlerism from the face of the earth and guaranteeing the preservation of our mode of life. That objective can never be accomplished as long as the Communists and their fellow-travelers are determined to treat the war as a mere opportunity for them to change our mode of life into one patterned after Marxian principles.

As yet, the majority of our patriotic and loyal citizens is not fully conscious of this carefully planned revolution which is occurring because its activists and leaders are implementing it behind the smoke-screen of the war.

Those of us who have a better opportunity to pierce the screen than have the ordinary citizens are tremendously alarmed.

In fact, we are becoming more and more convinced that the radicals who have seized our Federal Government care not one whit about driving Hitler from the face of the earth.

China's Predicament

THE CHINESE official state of mind was plainly revealed by Miss Eve Curie and other reputable observers. Having hoped in vain that Great Britain and the United States would crush Japan whose forces have been waging war against the Chinese for the past four years, the officials of the Chiang Kai-Shek government find themselves confronted with more difficulties since the United Nations became China's active allies.

Speaking of the officials in China, the *New York Herald Tribune* remarks: "They are flabbergasted to find that within less than two months of the long-hoped-for clash between contemptible, half-exhausted Nippon and these enormously rich, powerful . . . invincible nations, the latter has lost most of their Far Eastern strongholds to the same armies that China has fought to a standstill and have left China all but isolated."

The *New York Times* said editorially: "The Chinese had implicit confidence in the strength of the Western Powers. They believed that if ever the great democracies of the United States and Britain struck simultaneously at Japan, they would crush that nation like an egg-shell caught between steel pincers. What the Chinese have seen instead has been bitterly disappointing."

Now that the Burma Road has been de-commissioned, China is left stranded, as was Poland, Czechoslovakia, France, Belgium, Norway and Greece. The plight of China proves the one vital permanency of the imperial democracies' plans: muddling procedure.

And what remedy is proposed by those same men, responsible for our failure? With a unanimity of confused thought there is a universal clamor for Great Britain and the United States to undertake a war of offense with their ill-equipped armies.

It is not surprising for us to read in the *Daily Worker* William Z. Foster's advocacy of this offensive plan. The head of the Communist Party in America said: "It is vital, therefore, that the American people . . . should also be awakened to the imperative necessity for a militant offensive in the actual theaters of war . . . The United Nations' offensive must come this spring with whatever forces we have ready."

We are surprised, nevertheless, to find so-called conservative papers supporting this Moscow-born plan which will produce a defeat abroad and the liquidation of Americanism at home.

The plain truth is that we are not prepared to fight an offensive war successfully now. Two years from now such strategy may be feasible. Today it is suicidal.

As the *New York Times* remarks: "These are days of disillusionment for the American people no less than the Chinese."

Fact-facing men will be satisfied if America can save herself from the power of the Communists within and prevent the enemies without from actually invading our shores. In our present unprepared condition, we will be fortunate to preserve our own entity. We will be doubly fortunate if both China and the Soviet Union will not desert us.

What Is a 'Coughlinite'?

U. A. W. Paper Accuses 'Coughlin Element' of Sabotaging Defense

THE PRESIDENT of Packard Local 190, U.A.W.-C.I.O. is quoted in a "Packard edition" of the *United Automobile Worker* (Feb. 15), as follows:

"We are meeting with great success in realizing the program for victory here in Packard; however, we must admit that there has been marriage of elements who would stand in the way of that program.

"We would not do service to the membership if we did not have the courage to expose these elements here and now. We charge *the Ku Klux Klan and the Coughlin elements* in the plant with being directly responsible for sabotaging the Victory program and with inciting division in our ranks among those gullible enough to listen to their vicious propaganda."

Upon this utterance by a local union president, a whole new anti-Coughlin smear attack has been built in the Communist press—the *Daily Worker, C.I.O. News, New Masses*, etc.

The same edition of the U.A.W. paper reproduces a letter from Geo. F. Addes, international secretary-treasurer, which warns:

"According to information we have received, the K.K.K. in Packard is preparing to launch a disruptive campaign in the union. This is probably in line with recent threats of the Grand Dragon Colescott to 'clean out the union.'

"The International is on record as utterly opposed to all such organizations as the Ku Klux Klan, which we have reason to believe is playing a subversive role in the defense industry. There is evidence that Nazi agents are now working through such undercover groups as the K.K.K. They have no place in the C.I.O."

The reader will note that there is nothing about "Coughlinites" in the International letter. The Coughlin angle is a purely local contribution; in the words of a current slogan, "something new has been added"!

Of course, the K.K.K. is "old stuff," but "Coughlin" is a magic word to the Communists. What *would* they do without him?

A front page editorial in the same issue of the U.A.W. journal waxes even hotter than its source material. It declares:

". . . the U.A.W.-C.I.O. regards with great concern the activities of questionable elements like the Ku Klux Klan, and *treasonable pro-Nazis like the Coughlinites.*"

Nothing is said about Communists, you'll note.

"The efforts to inject un-American doctrines, *like that of the Klan and Father Coughlin*, among the workers in Packard, are only to the advantage of the Axis," the editorial adds.

A signed article by the local union president goes still further. On his own responsibility, this individual says:

"There are some who would resolve our fight with the Ku Klux Klan and the Coughlinites into a religious issue.

"Let me make this emphatic:

"We know that the Ku Klux Klan has nothing in common with God-fearing Protestants. We know that the rantings of Father Coughlin in no way represent the views of the great masses of devout Catholics."

How does he *know* that?

There's a lot more—some full page "exposures" of the Klan and SOCIAL JUSTICE—but that's enough.

The paper and ink are *United Auto Worker* but the "words and music" are *Communist Daily Worker!*

* * *

Consider for a moment: Father Coughlin hasn't spoken over a radio broadcast for about two years. His signed articles in SOCIAL JUSTICE, and his public utterances on other subjects, were stopped almost as long ago.

Those radio talks delivered over a period of some 12 years, but discontinued almost two years ago, are being trotted out now as hindering the war effort.

Almost weekly, the Communist and Jewish press shriek hysterically for the "investigation" of Coughlin and for his internment (or worse) as a traitor to the Republic in wartime.

Their demand even reached the floor of Congress this last week!

What's the big idea!

Who is a "Coughlinite"?

What is "Coughlinism"?

Briefly, of course, it is a safe and handy smear term to use against the principles of Christian social justice by those who—as yet—fear openly to attack Christ and His followers in the United States!

These godless Communists are in mortal fear of Jesus Christ and His teachings. Their empty mouthings that Father Coughlin "in no way represents the views" of respectable Christians, is the tip-off.

Nothing is more futile, or silly, than the effort made to misrepresent the Reverend Father Coughlin as a "heretic" from the Catholic faith or as a "disobedient priest" of his Church. The fact that it is silly has not prevented some eminent individuals from trying it from time to time.

Why, then, this new hysterical wave of smearing the silent Father Coughlin?

As a reader put it in a letter recently, "How can a silent man utter treason?"

And he is silent. Nobody knows that better than those anti-Christians; *for they silenced him!*

Why do the Communists fear his silence?

The rats in America's cupboard must have something on their consciences—or they are planning something which they fear can never get by as long as there are Coughlins and "Coughlinites" to save America!

* * *

They make it pretty plain that they are not afraid of the rest of the Christians—"God-fearing Protestants," or "the great masses of devout Catholics." They denounce only the "Coughlinites"!

Why?

Not without good reason could the late Bishop Gallagher say that *if Father Coughlin had been broadcasting in Russia, the Bolshevik revolution could not have occurred!*

But Father Coughlin is not broadcasting in America now.

He did do so, however, for some 12 years. Millions of people heard him; hundreds of thousands still remember his warning.

Is that what makes us "Coughlinites"? Are we the people the anti-Christian Communists fear?

Or will they "invite" Father Coughlin out of his silence and back to the radio?

Do these anti-Christian plotters have the least conception what would happen to their pretty schemes, if the silenced Voice of Royal Oak were to take to the national radio networks again some Sunday afternoon?

Can you picture Father Coughlin's searchlight piercing the fog of confusion in wartime Washington?

Is that what the Communists want? If so, more power to them—so do lots more of us!

* * *

As for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, we are not very much disturbed about these rantings in the Communist and Jewish press. True, powerful influences are moving heaven and earth to "plant" the smears in more respectable journals. All their money and power are bound to succeed, eventually, in some quarters.

But it is all the same old anti-Christian smear which practising Christians have had to endure for 2,000 years. Indeed, the "revilings," and the "persecutions," and the "speaking all manner of things falsely against" them are marks of the true Christian.

We would begin to worry if, suddenly, we were to find ourselves praised in these unholy quarters.

One would think that readers of the Communist and Jewish press, themselves, would tire of the same old smear.

"Investigate Coughlin," "jail Coughlin," "liquidate Coughlin," "suppress SOCIAL JUSTICE," have been the all-too-familiar cry, ever since the fateful Friday the 13th when we started this national magazine six years ago.

Our best answer to all these charges is the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine—*any week.*

It is a bit flattering to note the close attention with which these smear critics follow every word of our comment. Time after time, something that we did not think especially "hot" is picked up and parrotted.

"See what fifth columnists these Coughlinites are," the smearers shout.

And non-Communist Christians, reading the same words, cannot for the life of them read anything into them but forthright—if, sometimes, overbold—American patriotism and applied Christianity.

Week after week, you can take the very quotations these Communists lift out of their context to condemn us and rest our case on them without one additional word of extenuation or defense.

SOCIAL JUSTICE's Christian conscience is clean. That is always a tremendous advantage in any fight with the Powers of Darkness!

Comment

(Continued from Page 5)

"You make a sweeping but unsubstantiated charge that on 'an investigation into the facts, the world will confront a picture so fearful in its barbarous cruelty that the hell of war and the alleged Belgian atrocities will pale in significance as compared to this devilishly, deliberately, cold-bloodedly planned and already partially executed campaign for the extermination of a proud, gentle, loyal and law-abiding people . . . for the Jews are the aristocrats of the world.'

* * *

"Can you also furnish me with definite and accurate information on the following points:

"1. As to the number of Jewish rabbis, if any, that have been murdered in Germany by the National-Socialist Government, the number of synagogues that have been confiscated and destroyed, and the actual number of Jewish synagogues that are at present permitted to hold religious services?

"2. As to whether the wireless to the Jewish-owned daily, the *New York Times*, of May 23rd, 1933, records facts when it states that in the annual election of the officers of the world-renowned 'Kaiser Wilhelm Society for the Advancement of Sciences,' which had expelled Einstein because of his alliance with Communistic Russia, 'three persons of the Jewish faith were re-elected' on May 23rd to the Governing Board, and that this society pledged itself 'ready to cooperate joyously in the reconstruction of the New National State'?

"3. As to whether one may credit the wireless of the *New York Times* special correspondent from Frankfort-on-Main of June 19th that 'Jewish shops are as well patronized as ever. They sell brown shirts at reduced prices. . . .

" 'The Jews of the possessing classes are inclined to regard their sufferings under Hitler as the lesser of two evils. Whatever the fact there is no doubt Germany was convinced she was on the verge of Bolshevism. The fear of Communism, now subsiding, amounted to national hysteria.

" 'One is a director of a great bank, in himself proof that the proposal to remove Jews from all directorates is already scrapped. The banker was depressed and reserved, but insistent that outside interference only aggravated the situation.'

"4. Is it true that Dr. Rose Nellor, novelist and playwright, who is now undergoing sentence of six months' heavy penal servitude, having confessed and been convicted by the Vienna Courts of having staged an 'Attempted Nazi Assassination' in order to denounce the German Nazis, is a Jewess? The Associated Press despatch from Vienna, May 20th, carries the following report of the case: 'Slashing herself four times with a knife, it was charged, she called police and told them the wounds were inflicted by a young Nazi. She presented a list of Viennese Jews, apparently targets for attack, which she said the youths had left behind. Later, police said, she confessed that the wounds were self-inflicted, and that the list of names was written on her own typewriter.'

"5. Is it true that a considerable number of the leaders and members of the German Communistic Party, which polled at the National election in July, 1933, over 6,000,000 votes are Jews?

"6. Is it true that ten days prior to this election, Chancellor Von Papen found it necessary to arrest the Chief Commissioner of Police and two of his assistants and to reorganize the Berlin Police Force as well as to assume the Dictatorship of Prussia, in an effort to forestall a revolution in Germany?

"7. Is it true that the headquarters of Communistic World Militant Atheists and of the Communistic Terror Troops were, until routed out by the German Government, located on Grenadier Strasse in the heart of the Berlin Jewish colony?

"8. Is the statement of Rev. Karl H. Von Wiegand reliable, that during the past year '150 Protestant preachers, including myself, have become Socialists,' and, furthermore, that 'the Socialist German Free Thinker (Atheistic) Society had, until dissolved recently by the Hitler Government, 6,000,000 paying members, 2,000 branches, 21 offices, and a fortnightly newspaper with 400,000 circulation'?

"9. Is it true that, after Hitler's overwhelming victory at the polls in March of this year, 'the Kommintern issued orders to its members to close ranks with the Second International, which until then they had fought most fiercely, in common opposition to German Fascism'?

"10. Can you inform me as to how many members of the Kommintern are Jews? Is the statement exaggerated that 'of the 545 officials of the Soviet *regime* 447 members, or 83 per cent, of the total are Jews'? Can you furnish me the exact data?

"11. Is it true that Yaroslavsky-Goubelman, the head of the Soviet-Atheistic Propaganda Bureau and the author of the *Anti-Religious Front*, is a Jew?

"12. Is it true that Trotzky, Kerensky, Lenin, Zinovieff, Kameneff, Litvinov and most of the other leaders of the Russian Bolshevistic Anti-Christian revolution were Jews?

"13. Is it true that the universally recognized apostle of Communism and anti-religious Socialism, Karl Marx, the author of *Das Kapital*, was a German Jew?

"14. Is it true that Freud, who Papini states 'glories in the well-deserved reputation of a scavenger of souls,' the apostle of the modern sex cult and nudism and the founder of the modern pseudo-scientific humbug Freudianism, is an Austro-German Jew?

"15. Is it true that the foremost exponent of Atheism, author of *The Anti-Christ*, who blatantly boasts of 'the debauchery of the Christian religion,' and characterizes as 'the most harmful vice, pity shown to the misbegotten and feeble Christianity,' Nietzsche, is a German Jew?

"16. Is it true that Einstein, the Jewish scientist on whom was conferred honorary German citizenship by being voted membership in the German Academy of Sciences, is a Socialist and a member of the Communistic International Red Relief, an organization which uses its funds for the propagation of Communism among the working-classes? According to the statement of the Executive Committee of the Communistic Kommintern, 'The end of this revolutionary relief organization for the aggressive proletariat is the establishment throughout the whole world of the Communistic *regime*. This is the principal end, for the relief to the revolutionists is only secondary.'

"17. Is the report true that Joseph Schliff, former president of the International Jewish banking firm, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., of New York, 'presented Lenin with one million dollars to finance Atheistic Communism?'

"18. Is it true that Bela Kun, who staged the red terroristic revolution in March, 1919, in Buda-Pest, Hungary, and through which Catholic churches, convents and other religious institutions suffered so terribly, and who was, according to recent Associated Press reports, arrested, disguised when attempting, with faked passports, to pass into Austria for the purpose of establishing a new European centre of Communistic revolution, that this same Bela Kun is of Jewish nationality?

"19. Is it true that Kurt Eisner, whose monument in Munich was recently demolished by order of the National Socialist Government and his disinterred remains turned over to the Jewish synagogue for disposal, was, with six other Jews—Levin, Landauer, Sontheiner, Toller, Levine and Mukam—the leader of the Communistic revolution which controlled Bavaria at the end of the World War?

"20. Is the Press report correct that the perpetrator of the recent Holy Year bombing outrage of St. Peter's Church in the Vatican City was a Spanish Jew named Salomon?

"21. Is the statement of Leon Blum made to the Zionist Congress at Zurich, Switzerland, true, that 'Judaism finds its highest and final expression in Socialism'?

"22. Is the Press report contained in the March 28th issue of the *New York Herald Tribune* correct, that, in the monster Jewish meeting held in Madison Square Garden on the previous evening, Bishop Manning 'was greeted with wild applause when he entered the Garden, but when he condemned the persecution of Soviet Russia he was vigorously booed by his hearers'?

(Continued on Page 18)

Catholic Group Assails Draft of Women

THE national board of directors of the Catholic Daughters of America officially disapproved a movement to regiment American womanhood for national defense. This group characterized the movement as one "born of an ideology alien to our democratic traditions."

We congratulate the Catholic Daughters, who represent 200,000 Roman Catholic ladies throughout the United States.

The official statement of this organization reads as follows:

"We deplore the drastic departure from traditional policy, democratic ideals and Christian principles; as the regimentation merely for the sake of recrimination is not an American concept. We have not yet exploited the manpower of the Nation. Shortages in the armed forces are not apparent. The draft has made available numbers beyond the capacity to equip them. Until the manpower of the Nation is depleted we do not wish to lend support to the tearing of our women from our Nation's homes unless the need is grave."

The Catholic Daughters vehemently opposed the birth control advocates and all efforts made to pass legislation aimed at legalizing the practice of birth prevention.

Post-War Leaders Must Build a 'New America'

But Reconstruction Will Be Difficult If War Is Lost

THE wrenching of crowbars and smashing of pneumatic hammers sound a requiem not only in the factories of the auto industry but in other factories, as the nation speeds up its efforts to convert our economy from one of peace to one of war.

Millions of dollars' worth of machinery is going into discard. For the past month or so, acre upon acre of drills, presses, lathes and what-not have been piled out-of-doors in Michigan's inclement weather, protected only by a film of grease, in some instances; in others, only by an Act of Hope.

The structural shells of huge factories are being emptied to make room for new machines not yet manufactured.

We are told that six weeks of replacement are required for every week spent in dismantling our peace-time factories.

We are told that the complete process of dismantling will require a year.

This means that six years at a minimum will be required, following the outbreak of peace, to rehabilitate our plants provided normal conditions obtain.

What's going to happen in America during those six years? If 200,000 auto workers are sitting idle at home during the shift from peace to war, and will be sitting idle for four months or more—how many auto workers and other workers will be idle after the cessation of hostilities?

Your guess is as good as ours.

All we know is that the idle workers will not be sitting at home.

All we know is that the idle workers will not be hungry, will not be cold, will not become evacuees, as happened following the last war.

All we know is that the idle workers will not be taxpayers, nor will the owners of industry be taxpayers, because there will be little production and no profits for them.

All we know is that the America of yesterday or of today will have vanished; that a new America with a new concept of life —be it good or bad—will make its appearance.

* * *

Will politicians rule this country during the period of reconstruction? Definitely not the politicians who muddled us into an unprepared war; not the politicians who appropriated stupendous borrowings; not the politicians who exacted astronomical taxes; not the politicians who prated about making the world safe for democracy — and starvation.

A new set of politicians representing the unemployed, the laboring class, the agricultural class and the military class will compete for domination.

Will the triumphant politicians be representatives of a class determined to take over all mass production industry and all financial institutions?

Will they represent a class who demand repudiation of all war bonds and war debts?

Or will the victorious politicians represent the military class and the conservative citizens who will recognize that the only salvation of this post-war country is in a strong dictatorship and strong methods to hold down the lid on a cauldron of seething, boiling unrest?

There is talk in some circles of the need for making post-war plans. There is such a need, but these post-war plans are nebulous. Many of them are in the incipient blueprint stage and in the hands of twaddlers. At the moment the nation is busied with blueprints for defense, for victory. At the present, we surmise, the best brains of America are not available for post-war planning.

* * *

Consequent to every war there has been a period of readjustment—of depression; of dissatisfaction; of social revolution.

Sober-minded men will cast an eye of preparedness against the day when that period will descend upon us with all its fury. Then, if victory shall have come, we will be asking ourselves what price victory? Then we will realize that a major war in modern times produces no winner. Perchance—and we hope so—future generations will benefit by our sacrifices. The present generation will continue suffering for at least six years following the war, no matter what happens on the battlefields.

Meanwhile, Washington is impressed by widespread complaints of those who do not accept defeat following defeat with graciousness. It is evident to the citizens that bad news is being played down. It is evident that, following the fall of Java, there will be an assault against Australia, whose manpower has been scattered throughout the world, proving that a scattered offensive warfare was the best way of weakening Australia's defense.

More and more military and naval men, with an eye on the future and with lessons learned from abroad, lean to the theory that America had better take care of herself now and prepare to take care of herself following the end of hostilities.

These naval and military men also fear that the possibilities expressed recently by Mr. Roosevelt are about to pass into reality. These are:

(a) The loss of Bataan Peninsula and the fortress of Corregidor; annexation of the Philippines to the Japanese empire.

(b) Fall of Burma and the Netherlands East Indies.

(c) Immobilization of Australia and New Zealand.

(d) Loss of all naval bases in the Orient, including Port Darwin.

(e) A firm entrenchment of Japanese

(Continued on Page 18)

Were We Right or Were We Wrong?

READERS of SOCIAL JUSTICE will recall the headlines *we printed in August, 1941.* Then we said: "U.S. Takes Lead For War Against Japan."

The article relating to that headline, in part, read as follows:

The "freezing" of Japan's assets in the United States, and the impounding of arriving cargoes—ordered by President Roosevelt, and concurred in by the British—does more to effect the actual isolation of the United States of America than all the talk by so-called "isolationists."

More importantly, it seems to us, this action signals the breakdown of international gold capitalism.

Heretofore, the game of the gold-holding internationalists has been to play the gold and credit of one country against another. But, under the Roosevelt program, one after another of the world's nations, and now Japan and China, have been cut off by the world creditor.

Now, we hold all the gold, and the rest of the world — excepting, for the moment, Great Britain and Russia—has been forced to reorganize an economic new order, based upon work and production.

If this state of affairs is not to result in the utter breakdown of international finance, just how is international capitalism —and our own gold economy—to survive?

Only two ways appear possible:

1. The annihilation of Hitler and his system of national wealth based upon work and production. (This is the course upon which we now are committed; and it means the United States and Britain against the world.)

Or, (2), *using* our buried gold, and the real wealth of this nation, as the foundation for our economy—in place of an outdated gold-credit fiction of international capitalism.

* * *

In commenting upon this latest break with Japan, the newspapers speak of the silk market; but less is said about rubber and tin.

For both of these indispensable necessities, America is helplessly dependent upon the Dutch East Indies, to which Japan has the most convenient access and over which she now has control.

If we are going to drive Japan out of the Orient—out of those areas which produce the world's rubber and tin—just how do we propose to do it?

Are we going to send a fighting Navy of battleships across thousands of miles of ocean, to engage Japan's mighty force of modern torpedo airplanes?

As long ago as the indeterminate and unsatisfactory naval curtailment conferences, the Japanese turned away from floating forts (battleships) to the modern torpedo plane. They have them now, admittedly in superior numbers and trained efficiency.

In the event our Navy were to be put out of action in any such unequal encounter, just where is this hemisphere to obtain rubber or tin?

We talk a lot about the wartime shortage of aluminum; but that is almost insignificant beside our scandalous shortage of tin.

Our synthetic rubber production is in the "dark ages": years will be required, at our present efficiency lag, to reach anything like a satisfactory substitute for our imports.

* * *

We repeat: the above article was published in SOCIAL JUSTICE in August, 1941.

Comment

Bridges Efficiency: $14 for a Sandwich

WITHOUT comment we reproduce excerpts from a letter inserted recently in the *Congressional Record* by Representative Leland M. Ford of California.

Congressman Ford said he was withholding the name of the letter-writer "because if it is given, the writer knows that reprisals will be taken against him and he will be driven out of business."

Dated Juneau, Alaska, January 15, 1942, the letter reads in part:

"I . . . am thankful to note that there are some men in Congress who recognize the dangerous condition in which the country finds itself as a result of labor racketeers. Pearl Harbor is not going to be the only shock against which the people must steel themselves; we will have a succession of them with the perception that will come only with crises.

"I have heard the charge made that history will record that the greatest crime perpetrated upon a supposedly free people is the creation by this Administration of a mobster-racketeer-gangster dominated labor organization, which now challenges the power of its creator.

"Not even Alaska is immune from the paralyzing effects of racketeering practices. Since Harry Bridges secured control of the Maritime Federation of the Pacific, our only means of transportation has become so inefficient and costly that passenger rates are now approximately 10 cents a mile, and freight rates equally exorbitant. Since there is no other means of transportation and all clothing, food, fuel, and so forth is imported from the States, Bridges has the power of life and death over Alaska and its people.

* * *

"Seamen and longshoremen now make more than the master of the largest steamer plying between the States and the Territory. The average freight in tonnage unloaded from a single hatch hovered around 50 tons an hour until Bridges took it over and the union introduced the various and devious slow-down practices and arbitrary rules. Now it averages 18 tons an hour. What is true of shipping in Alaska must be true to perhaps the same degree as to the remainder of our merchant marine, so that our 5-million tons of shipping will not do the work of 1-million tons of Axis shipping.

"By intimidation and threats of strikes, officers of ships have been virtually stripped of authority. The unions can secure the discharge of any officer by the threat of a strike. One consequence is that there is no order or decency on ships plying between the States and Alaska, except those operated by the Canadian National and Canadian Pacific.

"Drunkenness, profanity, fighting are the rule rather than the exception. Poker games are played with money on the tables in every public place on the steamers, in violation of the law. Families travel on Canadian lines.

"The steamer *Baranof*, loaded down with thousands of tons of war supplies for the bases in Alaska, was held up four days in Seattle while seamen and stewards quarreled over something that did not involve the operator, except as an innocent victim; one drunken seaman held up the departure of the steamer *Denali* from Seattle for three hours through his connection with labor unions; thousands of hours have been lost in one port or another as a result of strikes, disputes or threats to strike.

"To serve a sandwich to an officer coming off watch after 7 p.m. the union requires, under one of its many arbitrary extortion rules, such an aggregation of crew—paid for a minimum of two hours at double time, though the job takes only five minutes—that it costs $14 to serve a sandwich.

* * *

"In the construction of naval bases in Alaska, which, though $150-million has already been spent on them, are far from complete, the same slow-down and manufactured-work practices are everywhere evident. It would bore you to read what I could relate. Moreover, the wages are fabulous, such as $135 a week for a person who can palm himself off on the Government, never particular, as a carpenter. Plumbers cost more.

"Such examples as four high-priced men taking half a day to thread a 3-inch pipe, charging double time for rescuing victims of an explosion, etc., are typical. The bases themselves have been the object of much criticism. Huge hangars, covered with gleaming copper roofs that reflect light like mirrors as though to guide an invader, obsolete but conspicuous concrete runways, powerhouses, barracks, repair shops, have all been placed in a cluster above ground. A few bombs, and they are out of commission for the war, including the planes whether in hangars or on the runways.

"In inquiring of Army officers and others why this was done, their explanation was that they are offensive and not defensive bases. When I would ask them if we could be assured that the enemy would agree not to take the offensive, they were insulted. Today we are on the defensive even in Alaska.

"Officers' quarters are palatial; $25,000 for each house is about the usual figure. The hardwood floors, cocktail bars, marble bathrooms savor of Rome before the downfall and are exhibited as objects of interest are in a museum. There are costly bowling alleys and other recreational facilities, including cabins for 50 miles around in which the armed forces may luxuriate. But guns, planes, are sadly lacking. Emphasis seems to be on luxury, ease, parties, cocktails, and even the recent lessons of war and history are forgotten."

A New Note in Americanism

THE *Florida Catholic*, a diocesan weekly newspaper, strikes a new note in Americanism.

In a recent issue the paper says:

"We have already heard the lament that submarines will 'kill the season' in Florida because all our winter visitors will race for home. All we can say is that if a few submarines can scare the visitors back to the ice and snow and cold of the North at a time like this when we should all be cool and collected and calm and determined—let them go. We only want Americans in Florida and Americans are fearless."

Now wouldn't it be just lovely if everyone took the *Florida Catholic's* advice to heart?

A good advertising copywriter could turn out some wonderful stuff to lure the customers to the sunny Southland.

It might read something like this: "Don't be un-American and stay up there in the frozen wasteland, making planes, guns and ships. Be real Americans. Come down to Florida and loaf for the duration. That's the way to win the war."

Or: "Don't be a coward. Don't be afraid to leave your work. Come and loll around on our sandy beaches and watch the Nazi subs frolic off the coast. If Hitler and the Japs think we're going to worry just because they're pushing our boys around, they're crazy. They can't intimidate us. No, sir. Be real Americans. Don't stay up North and freeze. Come down and live a life of ease."

Apathy in California

WE DO not profess to know exactly how prevalent are the conditions outlined in the letter below, but it has been our observation that a large segment of the American population still is not aroused to realization of what this war means in terms of work and sacrifice.

Perhaps still under the spell of the Senator Peppers and Col. Knoxes, who led them to believe that Japan would be a pushover for the United States; perhaps still slumbering from the Knox lullaby of American naval invincibility, too many Americans fail to appreciate the possible calamity ahead.

The following letter, received by Representative Leland M. Ford of California, outlines the deplorable apathy among certain groups on the West Coast. The letter reads in part:

"I don't believe that any of you fellows really understand the conditions that exist in the defense plants here in California. It so happens that, since priorities and that sort of stuff got to be the rule, that many of the boys that used to work for me are now employed in defense work.

"Honestly, Lee, it would break your heart to know of the 'goldbricking' that is going on, and it is not the exception—it is the rule. I, myself, was interested in the reconstruction of a vessel which lay in a shipyard in San Pedro for about a month. And I give you my word that in all my experience, covering work all over the United States of America and several foreign countries, I have never seen so much—and such barefaced—loafing.

"I make this statement — that if the materials are available there is not a single plant, which is now working on the so-called cost-plus, that could not double its present output without the increase of a single man, if it were only reasonably well managed, and if the present management were not financially interested in seeing their output cost more.

"Naturally, I am not putting all, or even a majority of the blame on management. The men have been told for many years that it is not necessary to 'put out' in order to hold their jobs; and I tell you in all seriousness that I am getting afraid of what the outcome may be. Just picture, yourself, thousands of young fellows in defense plants just to avoid the draft, and laughing at the fact that they do not do 30 minutes' work in an 8-hour day. It really beggars description.

". . . I hope you will realize that I am not the sort to cry 'wolf'; and I try to hope that the workers in the East are not like the ones here, for if they are, then we really are in trouble . . ."

Behind the War

Reviewing the Red Record

Soviet Union, Our Ally in War, Is Enemy of American Government

AMERICAN soldiers, sailors, marines, airmen, factory workers, farmers, and all other loyal citizens stand ready to make the supreme sacrifice for the defense of this Republic.

America's defenders, furthermore, are every bit as "invincible" in 1942 as they were in 1776, 1861-5, or 1917-18.

In World War I the fathers and older brothers of today's soldiers fought and died to win a "war to end all wars" and to "make the world safe for democracy." Instead, the world was prepared for totalitarianism—Communist, Fascist, Nazi, Socialist and militaristic.

The United States at the moment is allied militarily with the Soviet Union, supposedly to save the democratic freedoms and safeguard our Christian civilization.

The Nazi-Fascist Axis only recently abandoned a mutual non-aggression pact with the Soviet Union. Yet the anti-Comintern group is, supposedly, fighting to save the world from Communism.

But it must be remembered that the Stalin-Hitler handclasp of 1939 may be renewed in 1942, when Stalin will have succeeded in getting enough lease-lend material and money from America to overrun Europe and Asia, while his enemy of the moment concentrates on Western Europe, Africa and South America.

* * *

Since the U.S.A. is now allied with the U.S.S.R., it is not disloyalty to our own cause, certainly, to take stock of the disadvantages as well as the advantages of the arrangement.

The recognition of the Soviet Union, formulated in the *Roosevelt-Litvinov Agreement* of November, 1933, supposedly brought peace and collaboration into Russo-American relations and settled all differences between our two great nations.

When the treaty was signed no internal counter-revolution had taken place in Soviet Russia to overthrow the Marxist regime dedicated to world revolution.

The officials of the Soviet Union did not suppress, or even promise to suppress, the Communist International, Moscow's agency for promoting world revolution.

The Bolshevik government had not agreed, nor did it pledge, to suppress the Communist party in the United States, which was organized to promote revolution in America under the direction, supervision and financing of the Comintern and the Soviet government.

Actually, the Soviet Foreign Commissar, Maxim Litvinov, now Soviet ambassador to the United States, had merely addressed some diplomatic correspondence to President Roosevelt, which M. Litvinov interpreted in his way and which the American people interpreted in their way.

As history records, all provisions of the agreement upon which recognition rested were subsequently nullified and voided.

The U.S.S.R. never gave the U.S.A. the trade promised.

The Soviet Union never paid the debts contracted by the Bolshevik government, even though America cancelled the Czarist debts against the Russian people.

Soviet Russia never relaxed, even for foreigners, its campaign of militant godlessness and mass martyring of religious; and the Moscow headquarters never took one step to suppress anti-American organizations and activities promoted by the Communists in America.

In return for recognition, the Stalin government even demanded that America pay reparations and indemnities for the American anti-Bolshevik expedition and war of 1917-19.

The United States protested the immediate and flagrant violations of the terms of the treaty but wily Litvinov explained the "distinction" between the Soviet government, the Communist International, and the Communist Party U.S.A., and asserted diplomatically that the Soviet "government" was not responsible for the acts of the Comintern and the Communist Party.

In fact, the Commissar harkened back to words which he spoke on November 21, 1933, before the signatures on the recognition documents were dry: "The Third International is not mentioned in the document. You must not read into it more than was intended." (*Daily Worker*, Nov. 21, 1933.)

* * *

About a week later, on November 29, 1933, Earl Browder, the General Secretary of the Communist Party of America, a section of the Third International of Moscow, declared:

"In celebrating the victory of the Soviet workers and of the world *proletariat* won through recognition, the workers of America must realize the striking historical contrast between the giant of Socialism (United Soviet Socialist Republics) and the giant of capitalism (United States of America) and find in this victory an added source of strength and determination to fight against American capitalism for the overthrow of capitalism in the United States and for the establishment of a Soviet America." (*Daily Worker*, Nov. 29, 1933.)

* * *

America's recognition of the Soviet Union gave the Comintern and the Communist Party unlimited scope and unrestrained freedom in waging their Bolshevik war of revolution against this Republic.

There were no more Bridgman raids by Government law enforcement agencies; for now, the Communists met in open national conventions to elect candidates for all offices, including the highest in the land.

There were no longer arrests for sedition and treachery; for now, the subversive Reds plotted and proclaimed openly their program for overturning the Government by revolution, and establishing a Soviet dictatorship in America, in place of the representative democracy of the Republic.

There was no longer Government suppression of Communist organizations and publications. Communists emerged from under ground to increase their personnel and to extend their activities into all fields, while Communist publications praising Soviet Russia and damning America multiplied and flourished.

The Communists wormed themselves into governmental relief agencies in order to come into direct working contact with the unemployed and underprivileged.

Communists maneuvered to seize control of labor unions, educational institutions, cultural clubs, relief agencies and various Government projects.

Our native Communists interfered in the internal affairs of such sovereign nations as Germany, Austria, Czecho-Slovakia, Russia, Mexico and Spain to promote their world Communism; while the Government in America firmly refused to take any hand in suppressing anti-religious persecution and anti-government activities in these countries, many of which were instigated, financed and brain-trusted by American Communists.

Moreover, the Reds in America, through the use of bribes, promises, threats, rewards, smear and liquidation, vastly increased their numbers, bringing many radicals and liberals into the fold as fellow-travelers, stooges, innocents, dupes and workers.

Through their influence within the Federal Government, acquired by control over radicals and liberals in high position, the Reds succeeded in throwing open the gates of this country to hundreds of thousands of refugee revolutionaries—many of them fifth columnists expelled from their respective lands for subversive and outright revolutionary activities.

The war has entrenched and strengthened their position.

* * *

In the era of "recognition," Communism flourished in America to the extent that

(Continued on Page 19)

Nazi Prisoners Work Farms

GENERALISSIMO HITLER is reported to have ordered all active troops, many of whom have been policing the occupied countries, to service on the Western front.

Henceforth, France, Belgium, Holland, Norway and Denmark are to be policed by Nazi reservists under 18 and over 44.

The Balkan nations will be policed by Italian, Roumanian and Bulgarian troops, while all Austrian and German troops in the Balkans will be sent to the southern front in Russia.

Hitler is also reported to have freed many German farmers and factory workers for army service and has replaced them with conscript laborers and war captives.

At present there are more than 2-million alien workers in Germany and more than a million and a half prisoners of war, all of whom are regimented into a great industrial and agricultural army.

"General" Hitler dispatched two of his trouble-shooters to Hungary in an effort to persuade Admiral Horthy to provide more Hungarian troops for war and police service.

Thus, the news dispatches disclose, the Nazi Generalissimo is preparing his spring offensive. He is even demanding that Paris and Vichy send a French expeditionary force to the Russian front.

It appears further that attention is to be focused on the South Russian area around the Black Sea. The Nazis seem to be hastening their withdrawal from the northern area and moving to the more significant southern war theater.

What Others Say

Incompetency a 'War Secret'

THE CLOAK of secrecy that can cover up incompetence and scandal is about to be thrown over the Roosevelt Administration's acts so that it will mean a jail sentence for anybody who divulges information which the Administration itself does not wish to be made public . . .

If the (war secrets) bill wasn't intended to cover up incompetence and was loosely drawn through sheer inadvertence, then it doesn't speak well for Atty. Gen. Biddle's prestige as a drafter of laws. If the bill was seriously intended to mean what it says, then, indeed, the Roosevelt Administration has corroborated the fears so frequently expressed by critics that the war is being used to convert the American democracy into a totalitarian system under the guise of benevolence and the so-called public interest.

—David Lawrence

* * *

Censorship Resented

I'M SOMEWHAT discouraged by what I've found in the last several weeks. Washington has been talking about the "apathy" of the country. What I've found is resentment, based on the belief the Administration is not telling the truth about the war.

The censorship so far has been silly—it's not realistic. It has done more damage than the Japanese. Public sentiment is affected by the opinion we're being told half-truths.

This is a blood and iron affair. The nation has to be brought to the realization that at last this is the real thing—not a dress rehearsal such as we had in 1917-18 . . . But the job must be done, and done quickly, by Washington for the entire country.

—J. H. Gipson, Caxton Press, Caldwell, Idaho

* * *

THE TYPICAL American is still left with his new maps and globes and most of his old questions and doubts. He is not complacent. He is not scared. But he could go ahead a whole lot faster if the lights along the way were brighter. And only his Government can provide those lights.

—Raymond Moley

* * *

Whatdya Mean, 'Turtle'?

MR. ROOSEVELT . . . called certain Americans "turtles." I don't know where he got the word, but among the Chinese a person is a "turtle's egg" who, while knowing his mother, is uncertain as to his father.

Far be it from me to assume that Mr. Roosevelt has any intimate knowledge of things Chinese; yet, there is a possibility that someone may have conveyed to him the meaning of the term. A "turtle" is one, according to this speech, who believes that the war should be fought in home waters rather than all over the earth . . .

When a Senator discusses the conduct of Government, in peace or in war, he does so by virtue of the *Constitution* and the decision of his electorate. His right in the matter is as definite and positive as that of the President and is unchallengeable except by his electorates. He is not to be called a "turtle" for expressing an opinion, which it is his duty and obligation under oath to express.

—George E. Sokolsky, (N. Y. *Sun*, Feb. 26)

* * *

As 'Billy' Mitchell Said—

YOU CAN fool around and make all the mistakes you like politically within your own country, but when you get into the Big League of International War, you can't fake the score, and the results are there for all to see. And we are in the Big League of International Conflict now.

We've got three weapons as a nation: the Army, the Navy and air power (cannon, let's say, warships and wings). Continuing the analogy, we have one outfit to handle the cannon, the United States Army. We have another outfit to handle the warships, the U. S. Navy. And we need a third outfit to handle the wings, the U. S. Air Force.

If we don't face this issue of providing the third outfit and solving its problem, *we stand a chance of losing this war!*

—Major Al Williams

* * *

WHY should we Americans, heirs to the greatest industrial civilization, be reduced to fighting with primitive weapons in swamps and jungles, matching man for man, seeking to smother the enemy by the sheer bulk of American bodies and machines? To us airmen it looks as though we *had deliberately cast aside our natural advantage*—our technological supremacy.

—Major Alexander de Seversky

* * *

ONE employed person of every 12 works for a Government agency, it is estimated by the Census Bureau on the basis of a study of Federal, state and local governmental payrolls.

Excluding the armed forces and relief recipients, Federal, state and local payrolls included about 4,800,000 persons. Of these, about 1,500,000 work for the Federal Government.

Also on the public payrolls are 2-million in the armed forces and more than 1-million persons on relief.

—Associated Press dispatch

* * *

'Merchandise of Death'

IN 1942, as our contribution in the way of the merchandise of death, we are prepared to deliver a greater quantity of goods than this entire nation had to keep it alive in 1932.

It means that . . . the level of living within a few short months will not be much above 1932, and that in 1943 we shall surely fall below 1932.

—Price Administrator Leon Henderson

* * *

Mrs. Roosevelt's War

IT WAS not until Mrs. Roosevelt's farewell address that we realized she is not fighting the same war we are. She is fighting a war of her own—an internal war. She accused her critics of renewing "the age-old fight for the privileged few against the good of the many" and continued, "I am glad I am enlisted as a common soldier with the many."

Until Mrs. Roosevelt's army of the many realizes that it shares with the few a common enemy, whom we all must fight shoulder to shoulder, we cannot win this war.

Privileges are not worth fighting for when civilization itself is at stake. I am convinced that *the public is more aware of the seriousness of the situation than are public officials* and that it will not long continue to suffer such incompetence or lack of responsibility as has been conspicuous heretofore.

—Mrs. Robert Low Bacon, chairman Long Island Defense Center

* * *

Social Revolutionary

MRS. ELEANOR ROOSEVELT'S assertion . . . that criticism of her civilian defense activities was a renewal of the "age-old fight for the privileged few against the good of the many" reveals a point of view which is completely out of line with our conception of the proper functions of the agency with which is is happily no longer connected.

The President's wife made no secret of her belief that it was quite all right to use the Office of Civilian Defense as a place to carry on numerous social welfare experiments and to engage in activities designed, as she says, "to make life pleasanter and easier for the people as a whole" . . .

In other words, Mrs. Roosevelt was attempting to carry on the social revolution in time of war under the guise of civilian defense, and anyone who criticized her was just a mean old economic royalist or a bloated prince of privilege.

—Cleveland Plain Dealer

* * *

Flash: A New Cliveden Set

YOUR correspondent returned this week from a brief lecture tour of the Northwest and to her amazement discovered that, according to a jolly Communist publication called the *New Masses*, she was a part of the "backwash" of an "effete and dying minority, mal-educated, thwarted, disillusioned, anxious in their desperation to drag the country down with them, finding 'beauty' in the gallows of Hitlerism, a 'thrill' in the rubber hose of Fascism."

Gosh! Say it ain't so, comrades!

And all the time, when I dined at Mrs. Evalyn Walsh McLean's estate, Friendship, with the British Ambassador, Senator Barkley, Supreme Court Justice Murphy, Maritime Chairman Emory S. Land, Congressman Sol Bloom, Charlie Michelson and William L. Batt—in my simple-minded way I thought I was having a pleasant evening.

According to the *New Masses*, the diners at Friendship, including such other notorious Hitler pals as Senator Claude Pepper, Senator Connally, Speaker Rayburn and Senator Joe Guffey, are the "apologists of Hitler's point of view, the disparagers of the U.S.S.R., the slanderers of President Roosevelt, the cursers of organized labor, and the praisers of 'negotiated peace'."

Mrs. McLean is still searching for court redress against the Communist author who unearthed a "Cliveden Set" in her house by the simple expedient of labelling every pre-Pearl Harbor isolationist a present-day appeaser and *then leaving out the names of any of her guests and friends who did not fit into this picture.*

—Doris Fleeson in the *New York Daily News*

Comment

Aid To Japan

JOURNALISTS headlined the story from the Philippines that the Japs had used material given them during the terrible earthquake in the twenties. However, it was well they did not pursue the issue too far. Although it was all right to discuss the Red Cross material we sent to Japan, yet it would not make good publicity to tell about the fact that blind flying equipment and other items had been sold to the Japs by one of our large manufacturers of instruments of war.

Here is the story:

During the production of war supplies, duPont Company signed a contract with the Mitsui Company, Ltd., in which duPont agreed to sell to the Japanese company the rights for the use of the secret "pressure contact conversion process" for the manufacture of a hydrogen-nitrogen mixture for the production of munitions.

The duPont firm agreed to furnish drawings and information necessary for Mitsui to utilize the process in Japan, Sakhalin, Korea, Formosa and Manchuria.

The matter was discussed by Lammot duPont with United States officials. A conference was held by duPont with an Assistant Secretary of State. The munition manufacturer admitted that the possession of this secret process was of military advantage to Japan.

When there was threat of an embargo against the Japanese during the invasion of China, the Federal Laboratories, Inc., wrote the firm of Okura and Company that this firm should buy war supplies at once. They said it was necessary to "hurry" the order. These supplies were to be used by the Japanese navy.

The contracts of Sperry Corporation and Sperry Gyroscope Company are most interesting in light of recent weeks. The Sperry Company signed a contract with the Tokyo Precision Company to furnish the Sperry automatic pilot and in the agreement it is noted: "Sperry to furnish manufacturing information on present type of apparatus and improvements without additional charge."

When the agreement was made to supply the Japanese corporation with the directional gyro, it was agreed that the American concern was to furnish the new designs of the instrument.

Another contract was made between Sperry and the Tokyo Precision Instrument Company and the Mitsui and Company in which Sperry agreed to furnish the horizon for which the Japanese firm was to make a down payment of $4000; and was to pay $50 on each of the first 100 instruments and $40 on each of the others.

A contract to supply searchlights and gyro compasses also was made in which the Japanese firm agreed to give $35,000 down payment at the signing of the contract for the gyro compass and in addition pay $1,000 quarterly on the first 50, $850 on the second hundred and 750 on all others. This was to include only designs I to IV. For design V, the firm agreed to pay $5,000 on signing the agreement, $5,000 more within nine months and then pay royalty.

Agreements were made calling for royalty on the gyro pilot, turn indicator and flight indicator. The American firm was to receive $35.00 per instrument for the latter two. Another agrement was made to sell to the Japanese twenty Pioneer climb indicators.

We do not want to burden our readers with other examples; however, we do want you to read a contract made between the Douglas Aircraft Company and the Nakajima Aircraft Company so that our readers can see how broad these international agreements were. You will note that the American firm agrees to give "all the necessary engineering data, drawings, stress analyses, weight data, et cetera" as well as to furnish engineers for consultation. The text of the agreement is printed in the adjoining column.

Another American firm knew that Japan would use American equipment for duplication and later use. An official of Colt's Patent Fire Arms wrote an agent, O. E. Nelson, in care of Tiang Lee and Company, Penang this letter:

"We have received an order from the Imperial Japanese Navy inspector's office, New York City, and are shipping today one only caliber .50 water-cooled gun complete with spare parts and accessories, with deck mount, at $1,675. A Lt. Shuzo Yahiro is here to inspect and stated to me that if this gun met with the approval of his Government a further quantity would be ordered. WE TAKE THAT WITH A GRAIN OF SALT AS WHAT THEY WILL PROBABLY DO IS DUPLICATE IT IN ONE OF THEIR ARSENALS."

Even in the same letter, one notes that China is to be supplied also with certain guns if they pay regular prices and accept regular terms.

So the story goes. The munition game is world wide and international. Let's not place all the blame on duPont, Sperry, etc. Let's blame Government officials for permitting this to go on when so many people knew war with Japan was inevitable.

Douglas Aircraft Company's Pre-War Contract With Japanese Firm

"WHEREAS Nakajima desires to build aircraft of the type described in exhibit 'A' hereto attached, and Douglas represents that it has developed this type of airplane and is in a position to furnish Nakajima with all the necessary engineering data, drawings, stress analyses, weight data, et cetera, to enable Nakajima to so build airplanes of this type, and

"Furthermore, since Nakajima is in a position to sell airplanes of this type in Japan and in Manchukuo;

"Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the payment of the sums hereinafter stated, and in further consideration of the mutual agreements hereinafter contained, the parties hereto do mutually agree as follows:

"First. Douglas agrees to license Nakajima the right to build and to sell the type airplane described in exhibit 'A' attached hereto, which is an integral part of this agreement, or any model having the same general characteristics developed from this type airplane, in Japan and/or in Manchukuo. This license agreement with Nakajima may be transferred to an affiliated company, or to a third party, or parties, by consent of Douglas.

"Second. Douglas agrees to deliver to Nakajima, or his designated representative at Santa Monica, California, not later, than thirty (30) days from the date of this agreement, four (4) sets of all photographs with captions; two (2) complete sets of Van Dykes, and two (2) complete sets of blueprints of all engineering data, to include: The installation of Pratt and Whitney or Wright engines; and manufacturing drawings, stress analyses, strength tests, Department of Commerce tests, aerodynamic calculations, data and reports, wind tunnel tests, performance calculations, stability and balance calculations, flight-test reports, weight data, sets of printed copies of maintenance manual, material specifications, bills of materials, operation manuals, parts release sheets, airplane specifications, drawings of assembly jigs and other jigs and dies, etc., such as they exist.

"Third. Douglas agrees that airplanes built in accordance with the specifications outlined in exhibit 'A' will perform according to performance specified in exhibit 'A.'

"Fourth. Douglas agrees, when so requested by Nakajima, to assign the services of one (1) engineer and two (2) shop men to Nakajima, for his benefit in commencing construction of this type airplane under the license granted as set forth in this agreement. These men shall be sent to Japan, if desired by Nakajima, but their wages shall be paid by Nakajima and their traveling expenses and any other expenses en route shall also be paid by Nakajima. The period during which Douglas agrees to assign the services of the above men to Nakajima is six (6) months.

"Fifth. Douglas agrees to permit Nakajima representatives, consisting of man in charge, assistant engineer, and two (2) shop men to have full access to the Douglas factory, and to all information pertaining to the type airplane described in exhibit 'A,' at any reasonable times, when such attendance at the Douglas factory is not contrary to the wishes and policies of the United States Government.

"Sixth. Douglas hereby agrees to permit Nakajima free utilization of any patents now owned by Douglas, or which may be acquired by Douglas during the life of this agreement, when such patents do, or may, apply to any part or feature of the type airplane described in exhibit 'A.'

"Seventh. In consideration of the sum of eighty thousand dollars ($80,000.00) cash paid by Nakajima to Douglas, upon the execution of this agreement, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by Douglas, Douglas agrees to grant, and hereby does grant to Nakajima an exclusive and irrevocable license under the terms and conditions stated in this agreement.

Eighth. Furthermore, at the option of Nakajima, Douglas agrees for the further consideration hereinafter stated, to furnish Nakajima with engineering information and advice on any and all changes that Douglas may make or develop in the airplane described in exhibit 'A' attached hereto. In consideration of this engineering service, and in case Nakajima requests Douglas to furnish such service, Nakajima will pay Douglas twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) per year payable in advance, the first payment to be due one (1) year from date of this agreement. Nakajima may exercise this option from year to year upon notification to Douglas not later than thirty (30) days previous to the termination of the previous option. Douglas agrees to accept this option from Nakajima during the time only that this type airplane is in current production or development, but not in any case will Douglas agree to furnish these engineering services in connetion with this type airplane to Nakajima after five years from date of this agreement."

Comment

Untermeyer Text

(Continued from Page 4)

alone have survived. And so will history repeat itself, but that furnishes no reason why we should permit this reversion of a once great nation to the Dark Ages or fail to rescue these 600,000 human souls from the tortures of hell, as we can with the aid of our Christian friends, if we have the will to act.

Protests and pleas from all corners of the earth, from the leaders of all creeds, having proven as vain and unavailing, as was the idealistic dream of our martyred President of making the world safe for democracy and of protecting minorities, what then are to be the lines of our *defensive campaign against these atrocities, on which we are already actively embarked?* Are we right in our plan? If so, what steps shall now be prosecuted to attain success?

Our campaign is two-fold — defensive and constructive. On the defensive side will be the *economic boycott against all German goods, shipping and services.* On the constructive side will be an appeal to the League of Nations to construe and enforce the labor union provisions of the Versailles Treaty and the written promises made by Germany, while the treaty was under negotiation, to protect its minorities, which have been flagrantly violated by its disfranchisement and persecution of the German Jews.

What Boycott Means

As to the boycott, strange to say a mere handful in number, but powerful in influence, of our thoughtless but doubtless well-intentioned Jews seem obsessed and frightened at the bare mention of the word "boycott." It signifies and conjures up to them images of force and illegality, such as have on occasions in the past characterized struggles between labor unions and their employers. As these timid souls are capitalists and employers, the word and all that it implies are hateful to their ears.

In point of fact, it signifies nothing of the kind. These gentlemen do not know what they are talking or thinking about. Instead of surrendering to their vague fears and half-baked ideas, our first duty is to educate them as to what is meant by a purely defensive economic boycott, and what we are doing and proposing. Admittedly, the boycott is our only really effective weapon. These gentlemen who are taking counsel of their groundless fears to the exclusion of their reason have done nothing and have no program except to attempt to arouse world opinion, which is and has been from the outset on our side, as it was bound to be because of this brutal, senseless, unprovoked assault upon civilization.

It is not necessary to belittle or underrate that accomplishment, if their aimless, fruitless endeavors in that direction may be so dignified in recognition of their good intentions, the barren of results as they have been. It is sufficient that their efforts have proven unavailing and that the campaign of Schreckligheit not only goes on unabated in the face of unanimous world opinion but that it is not increasing in intensity and that the masses of the German people, misled by government propaganda and suppression of free speech and of the press, are either voluntarily, or through fear of punishment at the hands of their despotic rulers, supporting their government in this hellish campaign.

What then have these amiable gentlemen accomplished and what do they hope or expect to accomplish in the way of stemming this conflagration of civilization by their "feather-duster" methods? You cannot put out a fire, and especially that kind of a fire, by just looking on until the mad flames, fanned by the wind of hate, have destroyed everything. What we are proposing and *have already gone far toward doing*, is to prosecute a purely defensive economic boycott that will undermine the Hitler regime and bring the German people to their senses by destroying their export trade *on which their very existence depends.*

'Force Them to Learn'

They have flaunted and persisted in flaunting and defying world opinion. We propose to and are organizing world opinion to express itself in the only way Germany can be made to understand. Hitler and his mob will not permit their people to know how they are regarded by the outside world. We shall force them to learn in the only way open to us.

Revolting as it is, it would be an interesting study in psychology to analyze the motives, other than fear and cowardice, that have prompted *Jewish bankers to lend money to Germany as they are now doing.* It is in part their money that is being used by the Hitler regime in its reckless, wicked campaign of propaganda to make the world anti-Semitic; with that money they have invaded Great Britain, the United States and other countries where they have established newspapers, subsidized agents and otherwise are spending untold millions in spreading their infamous creed.

The suggestion that they use that money toward paying the honest debts they have repudiated is answered only by contemptuous sneers and silence. Meantime the infamous campaign goes on unabated with ever increasing intensity to the everlasting disgrace of the *Jewish bankers who are helping to finance it* and of the weaklings who are doing nothing effective to check it.

The Hitler regime originated and are fiendishly prosecuting their boycott to exterminate the Jews by placarding Jewish shops, warning Germans against dealing with them, by imprisoning Jewish shopkeepers and parading them through the streets by the hundreds under guard of Nazi troops for the sole crime of being Jews, by ejecting them from the learned professions in which many of them had attained eminence, by excluding their children from the schools, their men from the labor unions, closing against them every avenue of livelihood, locking them in vile concentration camps, starving and torturing them, murdering and beating them without cause and resorting to every other conceivable form of torture, inhuman beyond conception, until suicide has become their only means of escape, and all solely because they are or their remote ancestors were Jews, and all with the avowed object of exterminating them.

Appeal to Mankind

As against this, the foulest boycott in the annals of time, we are appealing to all mankind to enforce a counter-boycott. That appeal is meeting with the conviction that idealism and justice are still alive.

There is nothing new in the use of the economic boycott as an instrument of justice. The covenant of the League of Nations expressly provides in these identical words for its use to bring recalcitrant nations to terms. President Roosevelt, whose wise statesmanship and vision are the wonder of the civilized world, is invoking it in furtherance of his noble conception for the readjustment of the relations between capital and labor under the terms of the sweeping Industrial Recovery Act, to the end that labor shall receive a more just share of the wealth it creates. He is about to enlist the consumers of the country in a na-

(Continued on Page 19)

Irish Resent 'Invasion'

THERE is only one way to understand Prime Minister Eamon de Valera's denunciation of the arrival of United States troops in North Ireland, and that is in the light of Eire's internal political situation, writes Inez Robb, special correspondent of the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch.*

The average American, she says, is "completely ignorant of Eire's internal political situation." The crux of the sensitive Irish situation — as SOCIAL JUSTICE recently pointed out—is the partition of Eire and Ulster.

For two decades, the people and the politicians have resolutely refused to recognize the partition of Ireland. For 20 years, says Miss Robb, "De Valera has worked for the union of North and South Ireland, denying the *de jure status* of partition.

"If he had permitted the arrival of American troops in Ulster to go unchallenged, it would have been a denial of everything to which he has devoted his political life.

"*I talked to no one who was not 100 per cent behind the Prime Minister in his protest,*" the correspondent reports.

"You wouldn't stand for the landing of foreign troops on American soil, would you?" Eire patriots asked her time and again. When she said, "No," they invariably replied:

"Then you know how we feel about your troops in North Ireland!"

* * *

In conversations with political leaders of Eire, including the heads of the Irish Free State, and with citizens in every walk of life, Miss Robb says she was told that:

1. Eire will defend her neutrality and her bases from encroachment by any and all belligerents.

2. Eire will not yield the bases to America any more quickly than to Great Britain or Germany.

3. Eire wants no part of this war which she views as a contest between two imperialisms.

4. Eire is not pro-German. The British press itself agrees with Prime Minister de Valera's estimate that from 80 to 90 per cent of the people sympathize with the Allied cause.

5. Eire distrusts both Great Britain and Prime Minister Churchill from traditional causes.

6. Eire is prepared to deal sternly with internal as well as external elements threatening her neutrality. She has just passed what amounts to a drum-head court-martial law to deal with remnants of the outlawed Irish Republican Army, which has long waged its own war against Great Britain.

Comment

What About India?

WILL INDIA remain loyal to the British imperial crown? Will the British imperial crown confer the status of "dominion" upon India?

These questions occupy the minds of many persons who foresee trouble in that vital Empire possession.

The term "British-India" includes the districts subject to British law. It represents a territory of 862,604 square miles.

The Indian States or Agencies having political relations with the Indian Government have an area of 712,508 square miles.

Therefore, the total area of India and the Dependencies is 1,575,112 square miles.

Compare this with continental United States whose area is 3,026,789 square miles.

The northern portion of India is mountainous and includes the Himalayas, the loftiest mountains in the world. In this mountainous district it is difficult for huge populations to live.

Although continental United States is nearly twice the size of India, India's population is almost three times the size of the United States.

* * *

The government of India is a peculiar setup. The King of England is the Emperor of India. The administration of the Indian Empire is performed by the Secretary of State for India who is a member of the cabinet of the United Kingdom and responsible to Parliament—not to the Indian people.

The Secretary of State for India has a council of from 8 to 12 members of which one-half must have served or resided in India for not less than 10 years. It is not stipulated that any member of his council should be an Indian or even a citizen of India.

In political or secret matters the Secretary of State for India can act alone.

In India the government is committed by the Secretary of State for India to a Governor-General-in-Council who has also the title of Viceroy.

The "India Act," passed in 1935, provides for deep-rooted changes in the Indian administration, tending along the lines of the former Viceroy's official statement made in 1929. At that time the Viceroy said that "the major issue of India's constitutional progress is the attainment of dominion status." The 1935 India Act creates a federation of the States of British India and the Native States, allowing each an increased measure of autonomy, ultimate responsibility resting with the central government.

Administration of all foreign affairs and defense and other matters involving the country as a whole rest solely with the Viceroy.

According to the India Act, a council of ministers will assist the Viceroy. Any measure may be vetoed by him or reserved for decision by the Secretary of State residing in London.

The India Act also stipulates that a National Legislature at New Delhi will include a Council of State of 158 representatives from 11 provinces (Bengal, Bombay, Madras, Assam, Bihar, Orissa, Punjab, Sind, Central Provinces, United Provinces and Northwest Provinces). There will also be 104 representatives from the Native States. The franchise, or right to vote, in the 11 provinces listed above will be extended from 7-million to 35-million natives, including 6-million women.

The King will appoint a Governor for each province which will have its own cabinet and legislature, although in five of the provinces there will be a single chamber only.

* * *

India enjoyed a civilization long before the British Empire was established; long before the Romans first set foot on English soil. Although a vast country, India was never unified any more than is South America although the people of India, for the most part, belong to the same racial stock.

Employing the policy of "divide and rule," it was not difficult for Britain, in 1757, to conquer India.

Britain's conquest of India by Clive in 1757, at the battle of Plassey, was accomplished by turning religionists against each other; by purchasing the support of powerful princes and by commercial infiltration.

Today, India is seriously divided in matters of religion as much as America is divided in matters of politics.

There are more than 2¼-million Hindus; more than 70-million Moslems; more than 12-million Buddhists; more than 10-million Animists; more than 5-million Christians; more than 4-million Sikhs; more than 2-million Jains. Approximately 200,000 Parsis and 25,000 Jews make up the balance of religionists.

It is astounding to learn that there are 2,300 different castes in India. The so-called depressed classes (Untouchables) comprise 30 per cent of the Hindu population.

Despite the fact that India possessed a culture and civilization long before the white man's forebears emerged from the Druidical forests of Europe, we are informed that approximately 85 per cent of the males and 95 per cent of the females over six years of age were illiterate.

This does not speak well for the efforts of the British as colonists.

* * *

Indian history falls roughly into three periods. The National, or Hindu period, began about 2,000 years before Christ and lasted until approximately 1,000 years after Christ. The Mohammedan period then began and lasted until the battle of Plassey, 1757. The third period began with European dominion and lasted uninterruptedly until the time of Mohandas Gandhi. The interruption began with the dawn of the fourth period of Indian history. This was chronicled about 25 years ago.

In 1936, following the passage of the India Act, Mohandas Gandhi reported to the British government that India was not satisfied to accept the status of a dominion. He is striving for an independent India.

As far as we can ascertain, India, divided between the Hindus and Mohammedans particularly, is also divided in its allegiance to Great Britain.

Bombing of Paris a Boomerang

WHEN the British fleet attempted to destroy the French fleet following the fall of France, many observers realized that England succeeded in moving France halfway into the Axis' orbit.

It was to Britain's advantage to destroy the French fleet, lest Hitler seize it. Thus, without warning, the British turned on their allies—still their allies at that time, mind you—and destroyed part of the defenseless, unmanned fleet.

Following that questionable action, Hitler was adroit enough not to seize all of France but to permit the French to carry on in part of their nation and thereby retain at least a part of their self-respect.

Meanwhile, Paris was declared to be an open city. The French Government moved to Vichy and German troops moved into the former French capital.

Outside Paris huge motor plants were operated in behalf of the Germans by the French according to the same policy which motivated America to become the arsenal for England before the declaration of war.

Recognizing the value of the products turned out by these motor plants and fearing that France was moving rapidly toward a state of active war against the Allies, Churchill ordered Britain's air force to bombard the Parisian motor and munition factories.

At a loss of life of an estimated 650 to 1,000, the deed was done.

To our mind it was in the same pattern of strategy which impelled Britain to fire point blank on her ally's navy. But worse than that, it changed the French spirit over night from one of non-belligerency to one of belligerency.

Marshal Petain, employing the best of psychology, ordered a public funeral for the victims of Churchill's foolishness; and decreed a public holiday of mourning for all France.

* * *

Now there is no question as to where France stands. Churchill has settled it if there was a question.

France is in the arms of Hitler seeking revenge, if nothing more, for the bombing which cost the lives of so many civilians.

And if France is an object lesson to the world of Britain's perfidious foolishness, soon Spain, Portugal and Turkey will remember the non-delivered goods promised to Poland, Czechoslovakia and Singapore; soon, these countries will remember what happened to Paris; soon, in self-defense, they will safeguard themselves lest they become future victims of Churchill's blunders.

The bombing of France is a disaster to the United Nations' cause, ranking with Singapore and Java combined. It adds the vindictive spirit of 50-million Frenchmen to the Axis cause. It upsets the naval supremacy of the United Nations. It guarantees the failure of any Allied attempt to invade Europe from the west coast; it reinvigorates the faltering Italians. It gives renewed hope to Rommel's army in Libya. It presages the end of British domination in the Mediterranean, the fall of Gibraltar and the loss of Suez.

"The gods first make mad those whom they are about to destroy" is a quotation most applicable to Churchill and his unreasonable attack on the French.

Is it too late to remove him from office?

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

Amazing Restraint

TO THE EDITOR:

President Roosevelt said in his recent speech:

"For forty years it has always been our strategy . . . that in event of a full-scale attack on the islands by Japan, we should fight a delaying action, attempting to retire slowly into Bataan . . . We knew that the war would have to be fought by a process of attrition . . . We knew all along that we could outbuild and ultimately overwhelm Japan . . . We knew that, to attain our objective, many varieties of operation would be necessary in areas other than the Philippines . . . Nothing that has happened in the past two months has caused us to revise this basic strategy—except that the defense put up by General MacArthur *has magnificently exceeded the previous estimates;* and he and his men are gaining eternal glory therefor . . ."

This statement from the President confirms the analysis recently made by SOCIAL JUSTICE; and argues indubitably that MacArthur is a "dead pigeon"— that it was *planned that way* for 40 years!

Recent issues of SOCIAL JUSTICE are without question the best ever printed. You display amazing restraint in the face of the blundering with which our country has been embroiled in this disaster.

—B.McC.

Dorchester, Mass.

'God Is Not Mocked'

TO THE EDITOR:

Who can deny that the Reds in this country are using both the war and the nation's tie-up with Stalin's Soviet to spread their Satanic gospel?

Our President proclaimed New Year's Day as a time set aside for "asking forgiveness for our shortcomings of the past, of consecration to the task of the present, of asking God's help in the days to come." The complete text of the President's message was beautiful.

But let us be careful at this serious time not to mock God by our words and pleas while our conduct remains the same as of old.

Long weeks ago, the Dies Committee handed in a lengthy list of names of Reds with the respective record of their subversive activities. All these persons were working for, or rather in, Government positions. What has been done about them?

To deny God absolutely and completely, as do the followers of Marxism, is something that the rest of us cannot really and truly comprehend. But to acknowledge God and beg forgiveness for our weakness, and solicit His divine help in our need, is surely mocking Him—if we retain His enemies as our leaders in the very efforts upon which we plead for His aid.

—M.A.C.

San Francisco, Calif.

Traitors to Republic

TO THE EDITOR:

I enclose an ad which appeared in the *New York Times* (Dec. 18, 1941) headed "To Win This War We Need Union Now."

I suggest that Atty. Gen. Biddle issue warrants for the arrest of every officer and man connected with "Union Now," whose name appears on this advertisement in the *New York Times;* as well as a blanket warrant for every member of "Union Now," on a charge of high treason to the United States of America!

The F.B.I. is racing from one end of this country to the other trying to ferret out *saboteurs,* foreign agents, Nazi spies, etc., whereas no action as yet has been taken against the real *saboteurs* and foreign agents in this country, who in absolute defiance of our American *Constitution* are advocating the overthrow of our Republic, the destruction of our flag, and the return of America to its status before our American Revolution—that of a colony of Great Britain!

It is of the utmost importance that "Union Now" be nipped in the bud before its cancerous growth in our midst destroys our country.

—Daughter of the Revolution

New York, N. Y.

Christian Searchlights

TO THE EDITOR:

We "Coughlinites" certainly do "get" it, ever since Father Coughlin turned the spotlight on the string-pullers behind the scene of world politics, commerce, national and international finance.

It is plainly evident WHO are behind the scene: for they are now yelling to the housetops for a blackout of the spotlight!

They managed to dim it very effectively by silencing Father Coughlin on the national radio networks. Now they want to blackout SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, the one ray of Light left that is exposing their evil plan of world conquest for Lucifer.

Sometimes I even seem to notice a dimmer on a SOCIAL JUSTICE article.

When this happens I get out what I call my own searchlight, *"The Mystical Body of Christ in a Modern World,"* by the Rev. Denis Fahey, and it never fails.

I will give you an example. On page 3 of SOCIAL JUSTICE (Feb. 16) we find a comment on Congressman Hoffman's introduction of a resolution to investigate World Fellowship, Inc., and "Union Now."

On pages 241-5 of my "searchlight" it tells WHO is eager to accomplish world domination. On these pages also one will find reasons for the U.S.S.R.'s non-activity against Japan in the present war.

I also apply my "searchlight" to other magazines and newspapers. It is surprising how much we "Coughlinites" can discover when we use the Light supplied us by Father Coughlin through the teaching of the encyclicals of the Popes, plus other Christian sources mentioned in the *"Mystical Body of Christ."*

—H.DeL.

Detroit, Michigan

War Still a Fad

TO THE EDITOR:

I am afraid that many of us are still making a fad of our war. It has not yet "got to" us.

Too many of us are still talking about yesterday's problems.

So far, the war has been an open sesame to all opportunities and grafting profiteers, and to idle dilettantes of society who gather to spend great amounts of money, raising lesser amounts, for teas in Radio City and entertainments to which the well-to-do come in evening dress, the cost of which would build several Lockheeds!

Women "workers" rush about in newly modeled costumes of war by Hattie Carnegie, and young men and women rush to headquarters — mainly Communist — to learn bandaging, gun handling, and Spanish (which is, it seems, the first essential in a war between Russia and Germany!), the effect being somewhat like the senior class at Dobbs Ferry pulling frogs' legs.

Mrs. Roosevelt talks about "democracy," putting it eagerly and with magnanimity into the hands of high school pupils, when it seems that "democracy" cannot be solved by all the statesmen and idealists the world over.

Hollywood talent is rushed to the Army camps; models, the world's most beautiful, are sent out to the men that they may gaze upon pulchritude and thus get "moral courage" to fight.

It becomes more and more apparent, to more and more Americans, that this war is, perhaps, the only way to change the basis of society. For, it is obvious that no true defense will be possible without changing the whole system— and there will be no "system" changed without war.

—E.W.G.

New York City

All Peoples Good

TO THE EDITOR:

Thanks for your first copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE which I received last week.

I like this magazine because it is the only one where you can read the truth, and no propaganda in favor of any foreign country!

I have lived my life in three different lands, and have found good people all over.

—Mrs. K.M.B.

Chicago, Ill.

Those Who Can Still Think Must Save Us From the Rest

TO THE EDITOR:

Those who had been in control before December 7th have attempted since that date to project the idea that Pearl Harbor settled all the issues and resolved all our duties into the simple one of yesing Mr. Roosevelt's New Deal administration.

I can note three issues now before us in which this attitude of being "zeros" could be disastrous to our nation:

First—There is an issue as to the *objective* of the war. Should it be the crushing of any or all nations our Administration does not like, or a peace that secures the territory and sovereignty of the United States? The "crushing" objective is a pit we dig for others in which it is logical for us to fall ourselves. Public opinion must compel a *moral national objective!*

Second — As a country going toward Red revolution, we are like Czarist Russia in 1914, France before 1789, or England under Charles I. The top of our social pyramid has lost its character and administrative capacity; and the people listen to alien counsel and criminal solutions for their stresses. This change is essentially a forgetting of our past and its wisdom, a forgetting of what truth is and its importance, a forgetting of the future.

Like the first known revolutionists who said: "Go to, let us build us a city and a tower whose top may reach unto heaven," we are impressed by a "great objective"; but, we can't keep our minds on ways and means! The issue here for every one of us is to start remembering lest we make war as badly as Czarist Russia and meet, in time, the fate of the Russians. If only we can remember, we can become a nation again, and, once more, a Christian people.

Third — Those of us who can still think about ways and means, must risk much to *demand that public affairs be properly administered.*

By and large those most zealous in developing war, cannot think correctly about prosecuting it. They tend to have ulterior purposes more important to them than the national security!

They have "reasons" which locate airplane factories at tidewater, and leave the Philippines poorly defended. And they have time to use defense contracts to influence elections.

As more Pearl Harbor situations develop—and we should remember that it is human nature to repeat—there must be those to cry out in time.

—A.B.G.

Mound, Minnesota

Letters

Influence of Communism at Next Peace Table

TO THE EDITOR:

From the Catholic press I learn we are fighting for a world in which Christianity will live, and for a permanent peace after the war.

Al Smith says that after this war we must see to it that every time a dictator sticks his neck out we shall "smack him down!"

Now I am anxious to know what kind of a peace we are to have. I know, for instance, that the Pope advises disarmament.

But, if we are to "smack" every dictator who sticks his neck out, doesn't that mean that we will have to remain armed to the teeth for the rest of our lives? And, if we are the only ones armed, doesn't that mean we will be dictators ourselves, which is just what we say we are fighting against?

How in the world can we have a new Christian civilization, if in Westbrook Pegler's words "Stalin is to be a powerful influence at the peace conference following this war"?

It just doesn't make sense in my humble opinion, but others only smile at my fears.

If Josef Stalin is "unpredictable" and though we plead with him to restrain his Red hordes, he still goes in and knocks fallen enemies to oblivion, doesn't that mean that Communism will certainly be a very great influence after the war *instead of Christianity?*

Or have we decided that Communism is the lesser of the two evils, Hitlerism vs. Communism?

But is it a FACT that Communism is the *lesser* evil?

Why don't we discuss the two philosophies, out in the open, just in case? Also, if Stalin is to be a powerful influence at the peace conference, will there be present powerful Christian pacifists, say a few Quakers or Mennonites who denounce war as a way of settling international questions? Will the Pope, as the head of Christendom, be there to counteract this powerful "influence" of Stalin's?

Just recently, a Maryknoll missionary spoke in a church here in Denver and said that before the war there were hundreds of Communist missionaries in China to every Christian missionary. Will Stalin, after we win, call all those Communist missionaries back from China and let a few Christian missionaries run things?

Some tell me that the strategy is to get rid of Hitler FIRST and then — afterwards — we shall attend to the Communists. But, they are also telling us that getting rid of Hitler and Japan first is going to be a long, tough war.

Won't we, as natural human beings, be so tired and weary of war by the time the next armistice is signed, that we will be unwilling to start another and bigger war to put Red Russia and Communism in their proper place?

If we have to listen to this bunk about the "gallant Russians" for another ten years, will we be in any frame of mind to go out and destroy them also, even though they send more missionaries to China than we and obliterate Germany entirely?

Personally, I am only human; I just wouldn't have the heart to start another war all over again to get rid of Communism *afterwards.*

Our Church—that is to say, the Church's appointed spokesmen—say this is a just war. It knows more about that than I do. But what do we Christians gain if, after we win, we cannot get China and Russia to promise to let the Church in the Philippines, for example, go on with its Christian work?

They tell me "we don't want any heathen Japanese shrines in Hawaii." Heavens, no, but I keep thinking we do not want any Communist purges there either.

Why is it "impractical" to look ahead after the war as well as thinking in the "practical" way of the military strategists? Since we women are not military strategists, we could at least begin to look ahead to the hopeful prospect of peacetime.

And I do think we should be informed very definitely why it is "treasonable" to discuss what influence Communism might have after a war won with the aid and co-operation of the Soviet Union.

—Mrs. I.M.L.

Denver, Colorado

Everybody's America

TO THE EDITOR:

Let me congratulate SOCIAL JUSTICE on your effort to encourage all real Americans to join patriotic organizations. I hope this is only the beginning of a campaign to drive home the necessity of such a step.

Were it not for the fact that many radical groups have attempted to cash in on vocal expressions of patriotism which this war has called forth, while attempting at the same time to push into a defensive position all who opposed their war mongering, such a plea might not be so necessary.

A great deal of this boisterous and even vulgar display of patriotism is undoubtedly sheer charlatanism. Such a clamor will not win any war. The war will be won by those serious and less noisy Americans who have no time or need to shout.

But, under the cover of all this fanfare and flag-waving, an insidious evil may creep into our national life, which we may find ourselves unable to eradicate once we are through with the grim business of winning the war.

This war is proving a golden opportunity for the Communist Party in the United States. We must be continually vigilant.

On the plea of expediency we may justify our military co-operation with the Soviet Union; but we can never justify a spirit of indifference or defeatism which would allow the Communists in our midst to organize our national defense.

This is everybody's war — beware of any select little super-*clique* which undertakes to make it theirs!

—M.K.

Louisville, Kentucky

A Shining Target

TO THE EDITOR:

Tonight, here in Southern California, we had several commentators on the air who told us what we should be doing to win the war. We learn that here in Los Angeles and vicinity 2-million gas masks are to be distributed.

To all this I would like to add my comment. Within 20 miles of the heart of Los Angeles city are stored millions of gallons of gasoline and while it is well to advise the building of a strong offensive, would it not be wise also to have a strong defensive by using a little camouflage on these immense tanks, lest our enemies run the Catalina channel some night and make Pearl Harbor look like a picnic by dumping shells on our bright shiny storage tanks.

Within the past month these tanks have been freshly painted with bright silver paint, that reflects the glorious California sunshine for many miles!

—H.A.S.

Los Angeles, Calif.

* * *

***Note*—This letter was written before the mysterious submarine stood by off shore and blasted away at oil storage tanks — and missed!**

Old But Unknown

TO THE EDITOR:

The "Catechism of Social Justice," which the Rev. H.L.C. desires to possess at a cost of $10 already exists.

It is composed of the *Ten Commandments,* the Spiritual Works of Mercy, and the Corporal Works of Mercy.

Although about 2,000 years old, it is a "poor seller," which fact should not be urged against its merit.

It seems to me the Christian clergy are to blame for the deplorably small circulation of this essential catechism.

In every Church the *Ten Commandments* should be displayed on the wall in the rear of the altar and the Spiritual and Corporal Works of Mercy should appear *on the walls of the vestibule!*

—J.D.R.

West Roxbury, Mass.

Socialists Oppose Stalin —But Not Karl Marx!

TO THE EDITOR:

In answer to M.A.C., of San Francisco, commenting on *"The Red Decade"* by Eugene Lyons. It is disheartening when Christian publications and the *National Legionnaire* recommend this book to their readers. On second thought, it may serve a good purpose. If there are any persons well enough informed to sift the wheat from the chaff, it should be either of these two groups.

Eugene Lyons is a Russian-born Jewish Socialist and one of those now promoting the Jewish-Socialist "Social Democratic Federation" whose official organ is the *New Leader.*

His book, *"The Red Decade,"* is cleverly written and I thoroughly enjoyed his exposing the Stalinists. Who could do the job better than "one of them"? It must irk them no end.

Most of us have had to spend hours and years of laborious research to get the facts that Mr. Lyons gives you in this book. But how he shields Marxian Socialism itself—maligning only the Stalinists! For the Jewish-Socialists feel that Stalin "betrayed" them when he made the pact with Hitler. They want the same old Marxist ideals, but under "local" leadership!

Their quarrel is really with Stalin, and they are working for leadership here in the United States rather than in Moscow.

The greatest danger facing us today is that so many of our fine Christian Americans were ready to fall for Norman Thomas, because he was "against war," and an eloquent speaker. Yet in the *Call,* official organ of the Socialist party, he referred to us as the "rightist" non-interventionists, saying that Socialists could co-operate only with the "Keep America Out of War Congress," which was composed of "well-seasoned" liberals. Every issue was filled with criticism of SOCIAL JUSTICE America First, and all true American patriots.

The Socialist program is Marxist—as is the Communist—differing only in the *means of transition* to the Socialist State.

So many Americans do not study beneath the surface. They accept almost anybody who agrees with them on a single issue—but I am sure that those intelligent Americans who read SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine regularly do try to do more than "blindly follow a blind leader."

When I saw that SOCIAL JUSTICE praised Wendell Willkie it grieved me, for his previous record was "shady," and how he did repay you for that support!

I hope that many readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE will read Eugene Lyons' book, but with a critical mind. He does give you a picture of the network of "pink" organizations, even though he adroitly shields his own "pet" line.

—M.J.L.

Chicago, Ill.

Comment

'Un-Americanism' of Father Coughlin

(Continued from Page 8)

"23. Is it true that Bishop Gfoellner, of Linz, Austria, in a pastoral letter issued this year, while condemning all class, racial and national hatreds, states that 'the international Jewish spirit is altogether different from the Jewish nationality and the Jewish religion? It is incontestable that a number of Jews devoid of all religion exercise a supremely pernicious influence in all the domains of modern civilization . . . To combat and destroy this pernicious influence of Judaism is for all sincere Christians not only a legitimate right but an imperious conscientious duty'?

"24. How are we to reconcile with your statements the recent editorial of the *Catholic Times*, London, England, which states: 'We hold no brief for persecution but, is it quite certain that the alleged Nazi persecution of the Jews is quite what it is made out to be? We cannot easily forget the part played by International Jewry in the present state of world distress. Nor can we overlook the fact that Jews are back of much of the present propaganda of irreligion and immodesty, two of the Atheistic Communism's main lines of attack on that civilization which Herr Hitler, for all his faults, has sworn to uphold. When Signor Mussolini set about rebuilding Italy, one of the first great actions he deemed necessary was to crush the power of Jewish Freemasonry, and among the first he sent packing was the infamous Nathan, the Jew Freemason Mayor of Rome. If the Jews find themselves beneath the same heel that has set out to crush Communism, who is to blame?'

"Donald A. MacLean, M.A., S.T.L., Ph.D.,
Professor of Social and Political Ethics,
Catholic University of America, Washington, D C."

"August 11th, 1933."

* * *

We are not so much interested in the many questions proposed by Dr. MacLean to Mr. Samuel Untermeyer as we are in Mr. Untermeyer's radio address. That address fixes by word and date—Aug. 6, 1933—the existence of a Jewish plot to boycott Germany.

By 1933 Adolf Hitler had manifested his dislike for international Jews, particularly because they were so prominent in the Communist Party in Russia; particularly because so many of their wealthy members had purchased German properties with British, French and American money when the German mark had been depreciated outrageously.

No one defends Hitler's attitude towards the Jews. And no one should defend the Jewish attitude towards the Germans, as instanced in their support of Communism and in the manifestations of their frenzied finance.

Much water has gone over the dam since 1933. Nevertheless, the historic fact still remains that Samuel Untermeyer, the Executive Officer of the World Jewish Economic Federation, spoke on behalf of all the Jews throughout the world in launching an economic boycott of Germany—a boycott which meant starving Germany; a boycott which meant antagonizing Germany; a boycott which meant world war.

And following Mr. Untermeyer's speech over WABC, which so clumsily brought to light the plottings and the blueprintings of a world war sanctioned by the World Jewish Economic Federation, came in 1934 the passage of the Gold Nationalization Act which might be interpreted as one of the first practical moves in the boycott.

* * *

We hold no brief for Hitlerism and its Superman racialism. We offer no defense for the extremities which the Nazis employed against Catholic, Protestant and Jew. But in no manner can we condone or rationalize the wholesale boycotting of the entire Germanic people who, at the time, were dominated by a political party numbering less than 2-million and known to the world as the National Socialist Party of Germany.

In view of all this, the silent and silenced Father Coughlin, who was then operating under the direction of Bishop Michael Gallagher, is now attacked by practically every Jewish periodical, by every Communist-loving Congressman and publicist because he is allegedly un-American.

Following Bishop Gallagher's death, Father Coughlin operated under the jurisdiction and supervision, and with the permission, of Archbishop Mooney. Defenseless as he is, he may not speak his mind nor is he permitted to confront his enemies in his own way. And these enemies attack him because they know he is practically manacled in silence.

The above letter addressed to Mr. Samuel Untermeyer by the professor of social and political ethics of the Catholic University of America should be in the hands of every Congressman.

Our readers will see that this happens.

Post-War Leaders Must Rebuild America

(Continued from Page 9)

power in the Orient that will require many years of struggle for dislodgment.

* * *

Our predictions and our fears have come true.

It now happens that Japan has virtual control over rubber and tin; over the petroleum fields in Borneo, Sumatra and Java; over the billion tons of iron ore in the Philippines and Celebs. Civilians knew this would happen. Officials were blind to it.

Meanwhile, with the fall of Rangoon, China is partially immobilized. With the "face-losing" sustained by the United Nations, affairs are in a precarious state in India. Since Britain's bombing of Paris, France is more than ever inclined to cooperate with the Axis. With the inability of the United Nations to deliver the goods to nations to which goods were promised, Turkey is moving into the orbit of the Germanic influence.

All in all, 1942 will be the crucial year. It is not a question of the Axis powers winning the war in 1942. It is a question of the United Nations' ability to hang together beyond 1942.

The United States stands a fair chance of being left alone after England will have become as immobilized as is China, provided Gibraltar and the Suez Canal fall into the hands of the Axis; provided the submarine and bombing activity of the enemy keeps taking toll of supply ships throughout the summer and autumn in proportion to what they have accomplished throughout the closing weeks of winter.

America cannot afford to lose the war.

America cannot afford to lose the peace.

America cannot afford to lose the inevitable internal struggle which will be identified with the post-war period.

And the war must be fought out to a finish.

No appeasement can be expected from Hitler.

No appeasement can be expected from Roosevelt. Already we are counting Britain out—practically out. More and more we are regarding the United States as all that is left of the United Nations to wage a successful war.

It is possible that Hitler will be unseated. It is also possible that, along with Churchill, Roosevelt might pass into the discard through illness or death.

But under normal conditions, Hitler and Roosevelt will carry on with their united followings—and despite their failings and bunglings — until sheer exhaustion wins the day.

Exhaustion not for Germany alone, not for America alone. But an exhaustion which will bow down the entire civilized world.

* * *

Unfortunately, America is not in this war 100 per cent, as far as the spirit of the citizens is concerned.

There are some who are looking for financial profits.

There are some who are looking for political profits.

There are some who are looking for sociological profits.

There are some who are reluctant to surrender their customary way of life with its conveniences and its standards.

All these must be converted, else victory with its dour post-war problems will not have been gained, and defeat, with its dour prospects, will be the order of the day.

At least, with victory we can begin 100 years back, as far as material standards of living are concerned, and start to rebuild—to rebuild a better country for our children.

For the past several years America was not the America envisioned by our forefathers. From 1898, at least, we ceased being a democracy with all its implications and attempted to become an empire with all its exploitations.

Later on, following the first World War, our democratic empire showed signs of evolving into a democratic-imperial plutocracy. Following 1933, our imperial democratic plutocracy showed signs of evolving into an internationalistic regime which had its ideological preachments issued from Moscow and its financial policies dictated from London, Amsterdam and Prague.

All that is of yesterday. We are concerned with today and tomorrow—a today in which we should forget every other nation in the world that interferes with American prosperity, happiness and security; a tomorrow which will not only turn back the hands on the clock of time to a standard of living which we thought was obsolete, but to a standard of nationalism, independence and patriotism which was common to our forefathers more than a century ago.

* * *

Two years ago virtually no one thought that America could be invaded. Most persons adhered to this confidence because there was constantly dinned into our ears the propaganda that we possessed the finest

(Continued on Page 19)

Comment

Untermeyer Text

(Continued from Page 14)

tional campaign in which they pledge themselves to boycott all manufacturers, jobbers and retailers who fail to subscribe to the codes and to buy only from those who have assented and who are thereby privileged to fly the blue eagle of NRA. What more exalted precedent do our timid friends want?

With this explanation of our aims, I appeal to the American Jewish Committee, whose public spirit and good intentions I do not for a moment question, but the wisdom of whose judgment I challenge, no longer to hold aloof but to rid themselves of their timid and ill-considered prejudices and join in actively pressing this boycott as our only weapon except the appeal to the League, which I shall discuss at a later time.

I purposely refrain from including the American Jewish Congress in this appeal because I am satisfied that 95 per cent of their members are already with us and that they are being misrepresented by two or three men now abroad. Of them I ask that, *prior* to the meeting to be held this month in Prague by their executive committee, they instruct these false leaders in no uncertain terms as to the stand they *must* take on this all-important subject and demand that they shall either openly represent their views or resign their offices. One of them, generally recognized as the king-pin of mischief makers, is junketing around the Continent engaged in his favorite pastime of spreading discord, asserting at one time and place that he favors and supports the boycott and at another that he is opposed or indifferent to it, all dependent on the audience he is addressing; but always directly or indirectly delivering a stab in the dark.

Progress So Far Made

There is not time now, but I hope and expect in the near future to be able to report to you the steps that have been taken and that are already under way, and the surprising and gratifying progress already made in many countries toward the success of the economic boycott in which we are engaged. Although considerable progress in that direction has already been made in Great Britain and in the United States, you will be surprised to learn that they are the least advanced and as yet the most inadequately organized of all the countries that were represented at the Amsterdam World Economic Conference, where the boycott was unanimously and enthusiastically approved by formal resolution by a rising vote.

With us in America the delay has been due in part to lack of funds and the vast territory to be covered, but it is hoped and expected that this condition will soon be corrected. *The object-lesson we are determined to teach is so priceless to all humanity that we dare not fail.*

Each of you, Jew and Gentile alike, who has not already enlisted in this *sacred war* should do so now and here. It is not sufficient that you buy no goods made in Germany. You must refuse to deal with any merchant or shopkeeper who sells any German-made goods or who patronizes German ships or shipping. To our shame be it said that there are a few Jews among us, but fortunately only a few, so wanting in dignity and self-respect that they are willing to travel on German ships where they are despised and meet with the just contempt of the servants who wait upon them and of their fellow passengers. Their names should be heralded far and wide. They are traitors to their race.

In conclusion, permit me again to thank you for this heartening reception and to assure you that, with your support and that of our millions of non-Jewish friends, we will drive the last nail in the coffin of bigotry and fanaticism that has dared raise its ugly head to slander, belie and disgrace twentieth century civilization.

Post-War Leaders Must Rebuild America

(Continued from Page 18)

Navy in the world, which included the finest naval leadership in the world.

Undoubtedly we possess the finest Navy in the world as far as manpower and morale are concerned, but the policies directing the Navy definitely have not been the best when we pause to consider that many units of our fleet were dissipated to serve causes other than the prime American cause.

As matters stand today, our coastline is not adequately defended; our Army is not adequately equipped; our Pacific fleet is not adequately prepared.

America can be invaded. Successfully or unsuccessfully is another question.

America can be invaded either through Alaska or southern California; either through the Caribbean or the St. Lawrence.

Therefore, let all Americans put aside their peculiar ambitions, no matter what the cost may be, to prepare our country against any attempted invasion; to prepare our country to weather the storm of the post-war period.

Whether or not we like it, all Americans must go all-out for the conduct of this war. It is more and more evident that the United Nations are being immobilized, in a degree; that the United States of America, once the arsenal for democracy, is left standing practically alone—save for the Soviet Union, which is not interested in fighting to preserve democracy and capitalism as much as it is interested in fighting to preserve Bolshevism. And Bolshevism is as odious to Americans as Naziism.

Therefore, while the Soviet Union may help us to destroy the forces of Hitler and of Tojo, it will never help us to solve the post-war problems according to an American viewpoint.

Thus, the arsenal for democracy is also the bank for democracy. America is also the army and navy for democracy. This means that we are confronted with a task never before undertaken by any nation in the history of the world. It means that we must go all-out from top to bottom under the leadership of Mr. Roosevelt despite the fact he is unfortunately surrounded by Knox, Stimson, Ickes, Frankfurter, Perkins and a host of advisers who have been notable to date for guessing wrong.

Although our immediate objective is to win the war, or at least to prevent the enemy from winning it, our ultimate objective goes beyond the war and includes the six years or more which will follow the peace. In a sense, those six years are just as important as the years through which we are passing. For them we must prepare, determined that the best brains and best blood of America will control the destiny of the new country that is about to be born.

Reviewing the Red Record

(Continued from Page 11)

Communists dictated this nation's attitude towards the Blum government in France, the Nazi regime in Germany, the Mussolini dictatorship in Italy, the Stalin *clique* in Soviet Russia, the Franco government in Spain, and the Calles-Cardenas regime in Mexico.

In the era of Nazi-Soviet collaboration, Soviet Russia acted as purchasing and transfer agent for American metal, ore, cotton, wheat and machine tools, which were sold and shipped by way of Russia into Nazi Germany. The Soviet also functioned as an exchange agent for Germany, which was then engaged in creating a Berlin-Rome, Moscow-Tokyo axis and in sending Nazi technicians, tacticians, tourists, planes, tanks, guns and submarines to Japan to arm her for the eventual planned attack in the Far East.

The United States even released to Russia war material and machine tools which were temporarily under embargo because of the priorities set up for America's "all-out" production for national defense.

Through the Communists' political influence in Washington our essential war materials were sent to the Soviet Union even before the outbreak of the Nazi-Soviet war.

Then, through its financial agencies, the Federal Government advanced hundreds of millions of dollars to the Soviet to finance the fight against Nazi Germany, in spite of the Russians' occupation of Esthonia, Latvia, Lithuania, North China, and parts of Finland, Poland and Roumania.

Thus, Soviet Russia was aided in "all out" production and preparation for war against her erstwhile allies, Germany, Italy and Japan.

Through lend-lease arrangements, the U.S. Government already has sent billions of dollars' worth of war materials in American ships, under protection of American men-of-war, to the Soviet Union.

The Roosevelt Administration, furthermore, has dispatched missions to Russia to effect more intimate collaboration and friendly relations; and has even accepted the notorious Maxim Litvinov as the Soviet's plenipotentiary representative.

America's line of defense has been extended to encompass the Soviet Union in Europe and Asia, and Communist interests throughout the world.

* * *

Communists in America, acting under orders of the Soviet government and the international Comintern, are enjoying a period of temporary ascendancy.

They have multiplied their organizations and publications.

They have consolidated their powerful positions in Government, labor, industry, shipping, agriculture and Federal finance.

They have pushed ahead their plans to exploit poverty, starvation, unemployment, taxation, war totalitarianism, official bungling and confusion, even death in war, for the promotion of Red revolution in America.

They have enlisted the aid of the Government itself to promote their class war and to liquidate the *bourgeoisie*.

Our Administration says nothing, and does nothing, for fear of "offending" our Soviet ally.

The Reds continue to sabotage America's defenses from within while, ostensibly, aiding America in waging Russia's war against the Axis.

Will enough Americans be able to see the true picture, *and the whole picture*—in time?

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

This is a reproduction of the back page editorial and headline of the August 4, 1941, issue of Social Justice—four months before Pearl Harbor.

War Against Japan?

IT HAS been the practiced policy of the Roosevelt Administration to favor the Chinese in their war against Japan.

This policy has rankled in the hearts of the Nipponese war lords who are devoted to the policy of Asia for the Asiatics – a policy which, after all, is nothing more than an expression of our own *Monroe Doctrine.*

Meanwhile – and this is hard to understand – the United States has been shipping oil, tin and fabricated commodities to Japan by way of appeasement. And many of these commodities, so we are told, found their way through Russia into Germany.

As contradictory as the two policies expressed above appear to be, we have now come to an open break with Tokyo.

Subsequently the United States has frozen Japanese credits and put the Philippines in state of military preparedness.

* * *

Drew Pearson and Robert S. Allen (the Virginio Gaydas of the White House) state that if Japan attacks Siberia, the United States will stop oil shipments to every part of the Japanese empire.

Likely, Japan will attack Siberia.

Likely, Washington will curtail shipments of oil to the Japanese.

But Japan, which produces no oil within her empire, must have oil for her industrial and naval activities.

It seems reasonable, therefore, that the Japanese will attack the Dutch East Indies to secure the needed fuel.

And such a move will necessitate both the British and American fleets to attack the Japanese.

Meanwhile, the great naval base of Singapore is being prepared for actual war.

Meanwhile, French Indo-China and its wealth are virtually put under the protectorate of the Japanese by the French Vichy Government.

Soon all Asia will be engaged in war as is all Europe.

How many months will elapse before all America – including South America – will be engaged in war is a matter of speculation.

Unless the German forces can overcome Russia and successfully invade England by the end of September, there is every evidence that the entire world will have elected to experience a blood bath the like of which was never imagined by the most poetic minds.

* * *

Our engaging in war against Japan will initiate a long, bitter, gruesome, destructive period which will witness the abandoning of our *Constitution,* the destruction of private property and the adoption of a totalitarian form of government which will level off all families and persons.

As for the outcome of the war against Japan, it will mean that all Europe and practically all Asia will be opposed to the United States of America. The 21 South American Republics are and will be a question mark as to their affiliations in this Armageddon.

When gold becomes more precious than men – as it was at the time of the signing of the *Treaty of Versailles* and as it is today in Washington – we may look for divine reprisals until such a policy is abandoned.

How close we are to war against Japan is exemplified when our Government refused permission for ten or more Japanese steamers en route home from American Atlantic ports to use the Panama Canal.

Save This Issue of Social Justice For Reference in Future Years